arXiv:1610.09142v1 [math-ph] 28 Oct 2016

Breakdown of ergodicity induced by infinitely many local kinematical supercharges for the Nicolai supersymmetric fermion lattice model

Hajime Moriya*

Oct 28 2016

Abstract

We investigate dynamical properties of the spinless fermion lattice model introduced by Nicolai [J. Phys. A. Math. Gen. **9** (1976)]. We show that the Nicolai model on any dimensional integer lattice has infinitely many local fermionic constants of motion (local kinematical supercharges). These local constants of motion imply that the time evolution of the Nicolai model breaks ergodicity at an arbitrary positive temperature.

The interaction of the Nicolai model is exactly periodic and non-classical, and it has neither disorder nor impurity. Nevertheless, the dynamics of the Nicolai model is highly integrable exhibiting several characteristic properties of the many body localization (MBL), such as the ergodicity breakdown mentioned above and the existence of infinitely many strictly localized (bound) states on the Fock space.

Furthermore, we discuss a general criterion for chaotic quantum dynamics called the asymptotic abelian condition. Due to the existence of the local constants of motion the time evolution of the Nicolai model obviously breaks the asymptotic abelian condition. In addition to the local constants of motion, we provide non-diffusive local operators that are not necessarily invariant under the time evolution, however, fail the asymptotic abelian condition.

Key Words Quantum many-body dynamics. Supersymmetric fermion lattice models. Breaking ergodicity. Breaking the asymptotic abelian condition. Kinematical supercharges. Many body localization.
Mathematical Classification. 82B10, 82C10.

Contents

1 Introduction **2**
1.1 Background and purposes 2
1.2 Fermion lattice models with hidden supersymmetry 4
1.3 Breaking ergodicity and many body localization 5
1.4 The asymptotic abelian condition as a chaotic hypothesis for quantum many-body dynamics 6

*Email: map56@river.plala.or.jp Affiliation: SIT

2 Ergodicity **8**
2.1 C*-algebra and GNS representation; preliminaries 8
2.2 The ergodicity defined by invariant faithful factor states 9

3 Asymptotic abelian condition **9**
3.1 Definition 10
3.2 General properties of the asymptotic abelian condition 11

4 Supersymmetric fermion lattice model by Nicolai **15**
4.1 A mathematical formulation of fermion lattice systems 15
4.2 C^*-algebraic formulation of supersymmetric fermion lattice models . . 16
4.3 C^*-dynamics of the Nicolai supersymmetric fermion lattice model . . 19

5 Infinitely many local fermionic constants of motion for the Nicolai model **21**
5.1 Local forbidden and permitted sequences 22
5.2 Assignment of local fermion operators 23
5.3 Examples 23
5.4 Local constants of motion given by kinematical supercharges 28

6 Classical supersymmetric states of the Nicolai model **30**
6.1 Classical configurations 30
6.2 Highly degenerate classical supersymmetric states 31

7 Asymptotic behavior of the time evolution of the Nicolai model **34**
7.1 Breaking ergodicity 34
7.2 Breaking the asymptotic abelian condition 35

8 Generalization to multi-dimensional lattice **36**

9 Discussion and outlook **36**

1 Introduction

This section is divided into four parts. In subsection 1.1 we explain background and our purposes of this investigation. In subsection 1.2 we introduce a supersymmetric model which we will consider. In subsection 1.3 we recall the notion of ergodicity and then discuss its breakdown. In subsection 1.4 we introduce the asymptotic abelian condition as a characterization of chaotic quantum dynamics.

1.1 Background and purposes

Various historical debates have been conducted on the role of dynamics in foundation of statistical mechanics. Among others, the ergodicity is a general important characterization of dynamics in equilibrium statistical mechanics. Viewing the history of the early day of statistical mechanics, we notice that Ludwig Boltzmann and James Clerk Maxwell wrestled with the ergodic hypothesis.

Ergodic theory is now an extensive subject in pure mathematics. We have seen many fruitful interplays between statistical mechanics and the ergodic theory. For the classical ergodic theory in connection with statistical mechanics we refer to [25] [27] [28] [43] [68]. This work is devoted to investigation of a quantum version of ergodic theory in terms of condensed matter physics.

Recently, rapid progress has been made with regard to quantum many-body dynamics as a result of new technologies that enable preparation of certain isolated quantum models. In terms of the ergodicity mentioned above, several experimental realizations of non-ergodic quantum dynamics have been reported, see [13] [32] [41] [71] [74]. Along with such discoveries on quantum many-body dynamics, one may expect renewal perspectives on quantum ergodicity. Notably, quantum ergodicity has been discussed from the viewpoint of "thermalization and many-body localization (MBL)", which is a growing research topic of statistical physics. For the phenomenon called MBL, we refer to some recent reviews [51] [62] together with the seminal works [8] [31].

It has been frequently told that any non-trivial translation-invariant quantum model has a diffusive (ergodic) time evolution unless it is not a fine tuned integrable model. Probably, this conjecture is based on the following intuitive picture: every (or generic) local observable goes away to infinity under the Heisenberg time evolution in the infinite volume limit and loses its initial information. It seems, however, very difficult to find realistic assumptions to prove this conjecture, see [3]. It is also difficult to give sufficiently many physics examples that satisfy this conjecture. Similarly, it has been frequently told that the time evolution of quantum integrable models breaks ergodicity since they have an extensive set of constants. This statement is given without proof in [38] [71]. From our rigorous standpoint, however, we are not totally convinced by this widespread conjecture: If this holds undoubtedly, then a well known open problem of mathematical physics which will be addressed later in Subsection 1.4 can be solved immediately for integrable quantum models. We will refer to [29] which is devoted to serious study of quantum dynamics of integrable spin chains.

Presently, there are only few quantum models of infinite degrees of freedom whose status of ergodicity is known. To our knowledge, concrete examples of quantum spin lattice models whose temporal behavior can be rigorously treated are only those which can be mapped to free fermion chains except classical spin models that are more or less trivial. We refer to some relevant works [7] [30] [47] [76]. For a more complete list see [29] and the references therein. To extend our understanding of quantum-many body dynamics from the viewpoint of ergodicity, it is therefore vital to find new[1] quantum models whose time evolution can be analyzed by certain mathematical methods.

In this paper we focus on a supersymmetric fermion lattice model given by Nicolai [58]. This spinless fermion lattice model is made by only fermions with no bosons. However, it satisfies a basic relation of supersymmetry ($\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetry). We study this model using a C^*-algebraic formulation.

We show that the Nicolai model has infinitely many local fermionic constants of motion, and that these local constants imply ergodicity breakdown at any pos-

[1]Those can be well known models, too.

itive temperature. In this sense, the Nicolai model has highly integrable quantum dynamics.

Throughout this paper we work with the C^*-algebraic quantum statistical mechanics [11, 12]. Although this C^*-algebraic formulation is not common in condensed matter physics, it gives a rigorous and convenient framework of certain quantum systems of infinitely many degrees of freedom. The quantum ergodic theory in the C^*-algebraic setup is given in [10]. As it is a natural generalization of the classical ergodic theory, it may be called 'a quantum Koopman-von Neumann formalism'.

1.2 Fermion lattice models with hidden supersymmetry

First we provide a brief summary of supersymmetry (SUSY) necessary for our presentation. For the purpose of introduction, we now use standard terminologies in the physics literature. The C^*-algebraic formulation will be given later in Section 4.

Let N denote a fermion number operator on some Hilbert space $\mathscr{H}$. It gives a grading structure to $\mathscr{H}$ by the grading operator $(-1)^N$. The normalized vector in $\mathscr{H}$ is called a boson state if it is an eigenvector of the grading $(-1)^N$ with the eigenvalue 1, and it is called a fermion state if it is an eigenvector of $(-1)^N$ with the eigenvalue -1. Take a pair of linear operators Q and Q^* on $\mathscr{H}$, where $*$ denotes the adjoint. Assume that those are fermionic,

$$\{(-1)^N,\ Q\} = \{(-1)^N,\ Q^*\} = 0. \tag{1.1}$$

Assume that those are nilpotent,

$$Q^2 = 0 = {Q^*}^2. \tag{1.2}$$

The SUSY Hamiltonian is defined as

$$H := \{Q,\ Q^*\} \equiv QQ^* + Q^*Q. \tag{1.3}$$

From (1.3) and (1.2) we see that

$$[H,\ Q] = [H,\ Q^*] = 0. \tag{1.4}$$

The set of above relations satisfied by $\{Q,\, Q^*,\, H,\, (-1)^N,\, \mathscr{H}\}$ is called the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetry, see any basic reference of supersymmetry such as [82]. We will consider only this dynamical supersymmetry. Supersymmetry originally means a symmetry between fermions of spin one-half and bosons of spin 0. In this paper supersymmetry abstractly refers to the above set of algebraic relations; we do not actually need boson and fermion fields.

In this work we shall focus on a fermion lattice model satisfying $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetry given by Nicolai [58, Sect.3]. For definiteness we consider one-dimensional lattice $\mathbb{Z}$. Let a_i and a_i^* denote the annihilation operator and the creation operator of a spinless fermion at a site $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let N denote the total fermion number operator over the lattice. It is written heuristically as

$$N := \sum_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} n_i,\ \ n_i := a_i^* a_i.$$

Following [58] let us define the supercharge

$$Q_{\mathrm{Nic}} := \sum_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} a_{2i+1}a_{2i}^{*}a_{2i-1}, \tag{1.5}$$

and the supersymmetric Hamiltonian

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\mathrm{Nic}} &:= \{Q_{\mathrm{Nic}},\ Q_{\mathrm{Nic}}^{*}\} \\ &= \sum_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} \big\{ a_{2i}^{*}a_{2i-1}a_{2i+2}a_{2i+3}^{*} + a_{2i-1}^{*}a_{2i}a_{2i+3}a_{2i+2}^{*} \\ &\quad + a_{2i}^{*}a_{2i}a_{2i+1}a_{2i+1}^{*} + a_{2i-1}^{*}a_{2i-1}a_{2i}a_{2i}^{*} - a_{2i-1}^{*}a_{2i-1}a_{2i+1}a_{2i+1}^{*} \big\}. \end{aligned} \tag{1.6}$$

We see that Q_{Nic} and its adjoint Q_{Nic}^{*} are fermionic

$$\{(-1)^{N},\ Q_{\mathrm{Nic}}\} = 0 = \{(-1)^{N},\ Q_{\mathrm{Nic}}^{*}\}. \tag{1.7}$$

Those fermionic charges are nilpotent:

$$Q_{\mathrm{Nic}}^{2} = 0 = Q_{\mathrm{Nic}}^{*2}. \tag{1.8}$$

As we have seen above, the Nicolai model satisfies $\mathcal{N}=2$ supersymmetry. Note that the Nicolai model is periodic by the lattice translation with the periodicity 2, and the full translation-symmetry is explicitly broken. Later we reformulate this model using a C^{*}-algebraic framework.

1.3 Breaking ergodicity and many body localization

So far we have used the term 'ergodicity' vaguely. First we have to specify the meaning of ergodicity used in this work. Our formulation of ergodicity requires a weak convergence to equilibrium of any initially prepared state by time average under the time evolution in equilibrium states. This is completely analogous to the definition of ergodicity used in classical dynamical theory [25] [27]. However, we have to remark on some different setups of quantum ergodicity.

In the most physics papers listed in the reference, quantum ergodicity is defined on some selected Hilbert space, and the set of observables generates a type-I von Neumann algebra as in the early work by von Neumann [80]. Recently, an alternative characterization of chaotic quantum dynamics called the eigenstate thermalization hypothesis (ETH) in [21] [75] has been studied extensively, see e.g. [18] [62]. The formulation of ETH assumes that the Hamiltonian has discrete spectrum.

On the other hand, we directly treat an infinitely extended quantum system denoting it by a quasi-local C^{*}-algebra. This state-independent formulation enables a more transparent treatment than the usual Hilbert-space formulation. In C^{*}-algebras equilibrium states are characterized by the KMS condition. Via the GNS construction these states give rise to a type-III von Neumann algebra, and the modular Hamiltonian implementing the time evolution has continuous two-sided spectrum in general, see [11, 12]. With these tools we can construct a natural quantum ergodic theory, see [53]. Although we will not discuss such difference furthermore, we stress that our definition takes all equilibrium states (Gibbs states)

into account in principle, whereas the more handy treatment used by physicists seems essentially consider only ground states and excited states of them.

We investigate dynamics, i.e. both time evolution and symmetries of the Nicolai model. We show that the Nicolai model has infinitely many local conserved fermion charges. Precisely, those are invariant under the strongly continuous one-parameter group of automorphisms that denotes the Heisenberg time evolution of the Nicolai model on an infinitely extended lattice. By these constants of motion the time evolution of the Nicolai model breaks ergodicity for any equilibrium state at any positive temperature. Furthermore, it is shown that the Nicolai model has highly degenerate supersymmetric states in the Fock space. These are strictly localized ground states and prevent certain local observables from diffusing to infinity (the reservoir) under the time evolution.

According to [51] [62], MBL is usually considered for the case of non-zero temperature; this may be a basic requirement for MBL. Our result of the ergodicity breakdown for all equilibrium states at any positive temperature seems a direct evidence for MBL of the Nicolai model. Furthermore we can see that the number of degenerate classical ground states of the Nicolai model glows exponentially with respect to the volume of finite subsystems. This remarkable phenomena may be considered as MBL at zero temperature. We refer to [45] [46] where MBL at zero temperature is discussed for some concrete models in terms of spacial correlation functions of ground states.

It is considered that MBL is caused by disorder as in the single particle localization by Anderson [1], see [8] [37]. It has been debated whether MBL can be possible without disorder [20] [60] [69] [70]. The Nicolai model will exemplify MBL induced by symmetry with no disorder.

1.4 The asymptotic abelian condition as a chaotic hypothesis for quantum many-body dynamics

We shall recall the asymptotic abelian condition reviewing shortly its status, what is known and unknown. The asymptotic abelian condition is a general characterization of quantum dynamics. It is a strong diffusive property or chaotic property of quantum dynamics given in the frame of operator algebraic quantum statistical mechanics [22] [66] [23]. By definition it requires that the commutator of any pair of observables disappears as the time goes to infinity by applying the time evolution to one element of the pair. Apparently, the locality, a crucial structure of quasi-local quantum systems [34] [35], is a prerequisite for the asymptotic abelian condition. Thus the asymptotic abelian condition is an essentially quantum notion that makes sense only for large (infinite) quantum systems. Compare the asymptotic abelian condition with other chaotic properties of dynamics such as the ergodicity and the mixing property that make sense in both classical and quantum systems.

We shall describe some basic properties and known results of the asymptotic abelian condition. The asymptotic abelian condition implies the mixing property (temporal cluster property of correlation functions), and hence the ergodicity. Thus the asymptotic abelian condition is a strong chaotic property of quantum dynamics. In a model independent manner, from the asymptotic abelian condition we can derive several fundamental statements of quantum statistical mechanics [11, 12, 34, 78]. We

may consider several variants such as the norm (strongly continuous) asymptotic abelian condition and the weak asymptotic abelian condition. By changing the treatment of infinite limit, we may consider the asymptotic abelian condition in mean and the L^1 asymptotic abelian condition. We refer to [54] [55] [56] for the details.

Despite its straightforward meaning and many powerful consequences, the asymptotic abelian condition has been a hypothesis for quantum dynamics. It is much like the ergodic hypothesis. There are only few non-trivial quantum models for which the asymptotic abelian condition can be proved (even partly) or can be disproved. In fact, the determination of the asymptotic abelian condition for many body quantum models has been a well known elusive problem in mathematical physics, see [3] [57] [77].

There are some (rather trivial) cases where the asymptotic abelian condition is known to hold. Obviously, the space-translation automorphism group upon any quasi-local quantum system is asymptotic abelian. By using this fact it can be shown that a group of light-like translations in Minkowski space satisfies the asymptotic abelian condition in the weak topology [24]. However, these do not deal with Hamiltonian dynamics that we are interested in.

We can easily provide examples that fail the asymptotic abelian condition. Consider any classical spin lattice model like Ising models embedded in a quantum spin lattice system. Then all local (classical and quantum) observables are confined in some finite region eternally in the course of time development, see [63] [76]. Therefore any classical spin lattice model does not satisfy the asymptotic abelian condition. There are non-trivial examples. It can be shown that certain quasi-free time evolutions on the fermion system are asymptotic abelian on the even algebra by applying the Riemann-Lebesgue lemma to the spectrum of the corresponding one-particle Hamiltonian, see [4, Theorem 2] [12, Example 5.2.21] [19]. By this one can see that the time evolution of the XY-model on the one-dimensional lattice is asymptotic abelian on 'the even algebra' of the quantum spin system by using the Jordan-Wigner transformation. However, it is not asymptotic abelian (even not twisted asymptotic abelian) on the total system as it exhibits non-ergodic temporal behavior for certain local observables [4] [5] [7] [47].

It seems that the above mentioned few examples do not encapsulate general features of dynamics of quantum spin models. We do not know any quantum spin lattice model whose Heisenberg time evolution is asymptotic abelian on the *total* system. It is therefore important to prove or disprove the asymptotic abelian condition for non-trivial quantum models. As the Nicolai model breaks ergodicity, it obviously breaks the asymptotic abelian condition. We will show more detailed information of this breakdown. We provide non-diffusive local operators that are not necessarily invariant under the time evolution, however, induce the breakdown of the asymptotic abelian condition. These are given by showing dynamical localization from spectral localization.

2 Ergodicity

We have to specify our definition of ergodicity clearly, since there are different usages for this as noted in Section 1.1. We employ the framework C^*-algebraic (or operator algebraic) quantum theory. The dynamical information encoded in C^*-algebra is realized by the GNS representation for any chosen state.

2.1 C*-algebra and GNS representation; preliminaries

We will introduce some terminologies from C^*-algebra theory. Let $\mathcal{A}$ denote a C^*-algebra. It describes a large quantum system. We always assume that $\mathcal{A}$ contains a unital element $\mathbf{1}$. Let ω be a state (a normalized positive linear functional) on $\mathcal{A}$. By $\left(\mathscr{H}_\omega,\ \pi_\omega,\ \Omega_\omega\right)$ we denote the GNS representation associated to the state ω on $\mathcal{A}$. Precisely, π_ω is the homomorphism from $\mathcal{A}$ into $\mathfrak{B}(\mathscr{H}_\omega)$, the set of all bounded operators on the Hilbert space $\mathscr{H}_\omega$, and $\Omega_\omega \in \mathscr{H}_\omega$ is the unit cyclic vector such that $\omega(A) = (\Omega_\omega, \pi_\omega(A)\Omega_\omega)$ holds for all $A \in \mathcal{A}$. The GNS representation yields a von Neumann algebra $\mathfrak{M}_\omega := \pi_\omega(\mathcal{A})''$ on $\mathscr{H}_\omega$. We denote the weak extension of ω to the von Neumann algebra $\mathfrak{M}_\omega$ by $\tilde{\omega}$, namely $\tilde{\omega}(X) = (\Omega_\omega, X\Omega_\omega)$ for $X \in \mathfrak{M}_\omega$.

The commutant of $\mathfrak{M}_\omega$ is $\mathfrak{M}_\omega{}' := \{X \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathscr{H}_\omega);\ [X,\ Y] \equiv XY - YX = 0 \text{ for all } Y \in \mathfrak{M}_\omega\}$. The center of $\mathfrak{M}_\omega$ is $\mathfrak{Z}_\omega := \mathfrak{M}_\omega \cap \mathfrak{M}_\omega{}'$. Any state of $\mathfrak{M}_\omega$ which belongs to $\mathfrak{M}_{\omega *}$ (the predual of $\mathfrak{M}_\omega$) is called a normal state. A state ω on $\mathcal{A}$ is said to be a factor (or primary) state if $\mathfrak{M}_\omega$ generated by the GNS representation of ω is a factorial von Neumann algebra, namely it has a trivial center $\mathfrak{Z}_\omega := \mathbb{C}I_\omega$, where I_ω denotes the identity operator on $\mathscr{H}_\omega$.

Consider a one-parameter group of automorphisms α_t $(t \in \mathbb{R})$ on $\mathcal{A}$ which denotes a Heisenberg quantum evolution on an infinite quantum system. Assume that a state ω on $\mathcal{A}$ is α_t-invariant,

$$\omega\left(\alpha_t(A)\right) = \omega(A) \ \text{ for all } A \in \mathcal{A} \ \text{ for each } t \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{2.1}$$

Assume that α_t $(t \in \mathbb{R})$ is strongly continuous (pointwise norm continuous with respect to the parameter $t \in \mathbb{R}$):

$$\lim_{t\to 0} \|\alpha_t(A) - A\| \ \to 0, \text{ for every } A \in \mathcal{A}. \tag{2.2}$$

The triplet $(\mathcal{A}, \alpha_t, \omega)$ is called a quantum dynamical system.

By the strong continuity[2] of α_t $(t \in \mathbb{R})$ there is a strongly continuous unitary group $\{U_\omega(t);\ t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ that implements α_t $(t \in \mathbb{R})$ on the GNS Hilbert space $\mathscr{H}_\omega$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{\alpha}_{\omega,t}(X) &:= U_\omega(t) X U_\omega(t)^{-1},\ X \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathscr{H}_\omega), \\ \widetilde{\alpha}_{\omega,t}\left(\pi_\omega(A)\right) &= \pi_\omega\left(\alpha_t(A)\right),\ A \in \mathcal{A}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

By the Stone-von Neumann theorem, there exists a self-adjoint operator H_ω such that

$$U_\omega(t) = e^{itH_\omega} \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{2.4}$$

One may consider a weakly continuous dynamical system $(\mathfrak{M}_\omega, \widetilde{\alpha}_{\omega,t}, \tilde{\omega})$ on the von Neumann algebra $\mathfrak{M}_\omega$.

[2]Actually, the weak continuity suffices, see [11].

2.2 The ergodicity defined by invariant faithful factor states

We now provide our precise meaning of ergodicity. Take a quantum dynamical system $(\mathcal{A}, \alpha_t, \omega)$ that satisfies all the assumptions stated in the preceding subsection. As we consider thermodynamical pure phases, ω is always assumed to be a factor state. Furthermore assume that the α_t-invariant factor state ω is a faithful state: the zero expectation value $\omega(a^*a) = 0$ for $a \in \mathcal{A}$ implies that $a = 0$. Equilibrium (KMS) states satisfy the faithful property, whereas ground states generically do not. Thus our ergodicity is based on equilibrium states at positive temperature, however, excludes the zero-temperature case that mathematically corresponds to the von Neumann algebras of type-I.

The quantum dynamical system $(\mathcal{A}, \alpha_t, \omega)$ is said to be ergodic if for every normal state $\rho \in \mathfrak{M}_{\omega *,1}$ of the factor von Neumann algebra $\mathfrak{M}_\omega$ generated by the GNS representation of ω, the following asymptotic formula holds:

$$\lim_{T\to\infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \rho\left(\alpha_t(A)\right) dt = \omega(A) \quad \text{for all } A \in \mathcal{A}. \tag{2.5}$$

The quantum dynamical system $(\mathcal{A}, \alpha_t, \omega)$ is said to be non-ergodic if there is $\rho \in \mathfrak{M}_{\omega *,1}$ such that the above asymptotic formula (2.5) fails.

The quantum dynamical system $(\mathcal{A}, \alpha_t, \omega)$ is said to be mixing if it satisfies the following cluster property of temporal correlation functions:

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \omega\left(A\alpha_t(B)\right) = \omega(A)\omega(B) = 0 \quad \text{for every } A, B \in \mathcal{A}. \tag{2.6}$$

The above two notions can be characterized in terms of the spectrum of the Hamiltonian H_ω on the GNS Hilbert space $\mathscr{H}_\omega$. The ergodicity is known to be equivalent to

$$\mathrm{Ker} H_\omega = c\Omega_\omega, \ c \in \mathbb{C}. \tag{2.7}$$

The mixing property is known to be equivalent to

$$\mathrm{weak} - \lim_{t\to\infty} U_\omega(t) = P_{\Omega_\omega}, \tag{2.8}$$

where P_{Ω_ω} denotes the one dimensional projection onto the cyclic vector $\Omega_\omega \in \mathscr{H}_\omega$, and the convergence is the weak operator limit. See [61] for the detail. We see that the ergodicity follows from the mixing property. If the GNS Hamiltonian H_ω has purely absolutely continuous spectrum except for the simple eigenvalue 0 for the GNS vector Ω_ω, then the quantum dynamical system $(\mathcal{A}, \alpha_t, \omega)$ is mixing.

Remark 2.1. The definition of ergodic quantum systems given in [78, 3.2.15] differs from the above. It requires that the dynamics satisfies the asymptotic abelian condition from the outset.

3 Asymptotic abelian condition

In the first subsection the asymptotic abelian condition and the quasi-local C^*-systems are defined. In the second subsection we give several properties of the asymptotic abelian condition which are relevant to this work.

3.1 Definition

Let $\mathcal{A}$ denote a general C^*-algebra. Consider the commutator $[A,\ B] := AB - BA$ and the anti-commutator $\{A,\ B\} = AB + BA$ for $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$. Let α_t $(t \in \mathbb{R})$ denote a one-parameter group of $*$-automorphisms of $\mathcal{A}$. It is a time evolution on the quantum system. For our a purpose dealing with fermion lattice models of short range interactions, we assume that it is strongly continuous (2.2).

A one-parameter group of $*$-automorphisms α_t $(t \in \mathbb{R})$ is said to be norm asymptotic abelian, if

$$\lim_{t\to\infty}[A,\ \alpha_t(B)] = 0, \quad \text{for every} \quad A, B \in \mathcal{A}, \tag{3.1}$$

is satisfied, where the convergence is the C^*-norm. For a state ω on $\mathcal{A}$, if

$$\lim_{t\to\infty}\omega\left([A,\ \alpha_t(B)]\right) = 0, \quad \text{for every} \quad A, B \in \mathcal{A}, \tag{3.2}$$

then α_t $(t \in \mathbb{R})$ is said to be weakly asymptotic abelian for the state ω. In this paper we do not use this weak version.

For graded systems such as fermion systems, the notion of asymptotic abelian should be changed. Let $(\mathcal{A},\ \gamma)$ denote a $\mathbb{Z}^2$-graded C^*-system, where γ is a grading automorphism satisfying that $\gamma \circ \gamma = id$. The total system $\mathcal{A}$ is decomposed into the even part and the odd part:

$$\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_+ \oplus \mathcal{A}_-, \quad \mathcal{A}_+ = \{A \in \mathcal{A} |\ \gamma(A) = A\}, \quad \mathcal{A}_- = \{A \in \mathcal{A} |\ \gamma(A) = -A\}. \tag{3.3}$$

The graded commutator is given as

$$\begin{aligned} [F_+,\ G]_\gamma &= [F_+,\ G] \ \text{ for } \ F_+ \in \mathcal{A}_+,\ G \in \mathcal{A}, \\ [F_-,\ G_-]_\gamma &= \{F_-,\ G_-\} \ \text{ for } \ F_- \in \mathcal{A}_-,\ G_- \in \mathcal{A}_-. \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

The asymptotic abelian condition for fermion systems is defined by using the graded commutator in place of the commutator in (3.1). Namely, a one-parameter group of $*$-automorphisms α_t $(t \in \mathbb{R})$ of $\mathcal{A}$ is said to be graded asymptotic abelian, or more simply asymptotic abelian,[3] if the following asymptotic formula is satisfied:

$$\lim_{t\to\infty}[A,\ \alpha_t(B)]_\gamma = 0, \quad \text{for every} \quad A, B \in \mathcal{A}. \tag{3.5}$$

Similarly the weakly graded asymptotic abelian condition for ω can be defined by replacing the commutator by the graded-commutator in (3.2).

To denote a fermion system on an infinitely extended space, we assume the quasi-local structure upon a graded C^*-system $(\mathcal{A},\ \gamma)$ as usual. Specifically, there is a family of subalgebras $\{\mathcal{A}(\Lambda);\ \Lambda \in \mathfrak{F}\}$, where the index $\mathfrak{F}$ is a set of (certain) finite subsets of the given space. For example, for $\mathbb{Z}^\nu$ the index Λ may run over all finite subsets, while for $\mathbb{R}^\nu$ it may run over all bounded open regions. We require the following quasi-local (QL) conditions as in §2.6 of [11].

[3]The 'twisted' asymptotic abelian condition appeared in [5] is different from the graded asymptotic abelian condition used here. The "twisted" means that the local structure is twisted (by the Jordan-Wigner transformation, while the graded-commutator is intrinsic to the given space.

QL1. The local algebra $\mathcal{A}_\circ := \bigcup_{\Lambda\in\mathfrak{F}} \mathcal{A}(\Lambda)$ is norm-dense in $\mathcal{A}$.
QL2. If $\Lambda_1 \supset \Lambda_2$, then $\mathcal{A}(\Lambda_1) \supset \mathcal{A}(\Lambda_2)$.
QL3. For each $\Lambda \in \mathfrak{F}$, $\mathcal{A}(\Lambda)$ is isomorphic to the algebra of all bounded operators $\mathfrak{B}(\mathscr{H}_\Lambda)$ on some Hilbert space $\mathscr{H}_\Lambda$.
QL4. For $\Lambda_1 \cap \Lambda_2 = \emptyset$ the graded commutation relation holds

$$[A,\ B]_\gamma = 0 \quad \forall A \in \mathcal{A}(\Lambda_1),\ \forall B \in \mathcal{A}(\Lambda_2).$$

The fermion lattice system is denoted by a quasi-local graded C^*-system. The quantum spin lattice system is denoted by a quasi-local C^*-system that has a trivial grading.

3.2 General properties of the asymptotic abelian condition

In this subsection we explore general consequences of the asymptotic abelian condition for quasi-local graded C^*-systems. We remark that the grading is not essential for all the statements in this subsection; the grading is assumed for the purpose to consider a concrete fermion lattice model later.

It is a well known fact that the asymptotic abelian condition implies the mixing property (and hence the ergodicity). We will recapture its proof in order to stress the following points. First, the statement holds for fermion lattice systems in much the same way for quantum spin lattice systems. Second, the state ω under consideration is not necessarily a faithful state.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $(\mathcal{A},\ \gamma)$ denote a quasi-local graded C^*-algebra. Let α_t $(t \in \mathbb{R})$ be a strongly continuous one-parameter group of automorphisms on $\mathcal{A}$. Assume that α_t $(t \in \mathbb{R})$ satisfies the norm asymptotic abelian condition. Let ω be any α_t-invariant factor state on $\mathcal{A}$. Then for every $B \in \mathcal{A}$ the set $\{\pi_\omega(\alpha_t(B)) \in \mathfrak{M}_\omega;\ t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ has a unique weak limit point:*

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \pi_\omega\left(\alpha_t(B)\right) = \omega(B) I_\omega, \tag{3.6}$$

where I_ω denotes the identity operator on $\mathscr{H}_\omega$. Furthermore, the mixing property is satisfied:

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \omega\left(A\alpha_t(B)\right) = \omega(A)\omega(B) \quad \textit{for every } A, B \in \mathcal{A}. \tag{3.7}$$

Proof. Since $\{\pi_\omega\left(\alpha_t(B)\right) \in \mathfrak{M}_\omega;\ t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ is uniformly bounded by the norm $\|B\|$, it has weak accumulation points in $\mathfrak{M}_\omega$. By the asymptotic abelian condition of α_t, the graded-commutator of $\alpha_t(B)$ with any fixed local element in the local algebra $\mathcal{A}_\circ$ tends to zero in norm as $t \to \infty$. As $\mathcal{A}_\circ$ is norm dense in the total system $\mathcal{A}$, the graded-commutator of $\alpha_t(B)$ with any fixed element in $\mathcal{A}$ tends to zero in norm as $t \to \infty$ as well. Therefore, in the GNS representation of ω, any weak accumulation point of $\{\pi_\omega(\alpha_t(B)) \in \mathfrak{M}_\omega;\ t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ belongs to the center of $\mathfrak{M}_\omega$, see Theorem 2.6.5 [11]. As ω is a factor state, the center $\mathfrak{Z}_\omega$ consists of scalars only. As ω is assumed to be α_t-invariant, the weak accumulation point of $\{\pi_\omega(\alpha_t(B)) \in \mathfrak{M}_\omega;\ t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ is unique and (3.6) is satisfied.

The asymptotic formula (3.6) immediately yields the mixing property (3.7). □

Remark 3.2. If α_t ($t \in \mathbb{R}$) is asymptotic abelian, then any α_t-invariant state ω has zero expectation value on all odd elements of $\mathcal{A}$. Accordingly, for any α_t-invariant factor state ω

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \pi_\omega\left(\alpha_t(B)\right) = 0 \quad \text{for any } B \in \mathcal{A}_-, \tag{3.8}$$

and

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \omega\left(A\alpha_t(B)\right) = 0 \ \text{ if } A \text{ or } B \ \text{ is in } \mathcal{A}_-. \tag{3.9}$$

Remark 3.3. By the spectral characterization of the mixing property (2.8) for invariant faithful states in terms of the GNS representation together with the consequence (3.7) shown in Proposition 3.1, we obtain the following statement: If there exists a discrete eigenvector other than the GNS cyclic vector Ω_ω multiplied by some scalar constant $c(\neq 0) \in \mathbb{C}$ for some α_t-invariant *faithful* factor state ω, then α_t is not norm asymptotic abelian.

The statement in Remark 3.3 is not so practical, since the spectral property of the GNS Hamiltonian (modular Hamiltonian) for KMS states (which are faithful states) is not easy to get in general: Later we will show that the GNS Hamiltonian with respect to any KMS state for the Nicolai model has many discrete eigenvectors. This model seems quite exceptional. The following similar statement is applicable to ground states. It seems more useful. Note that this does not require the quasi-local C^*-algebra.

Proposition 3.4. *Let ω be an α_t-invariant factor state on a C^*-algebra $\mathcal{A}$. If there exists an eigenvector for the Hamiltonian H_ω that implements α_t in the GNS space of ω other than the cyclic vector Ω_ω multiplied by some scalar constant $c(\neq 0) \in \mathbb{C}$, then the mixing property is violated for $(\mathcal{A}, \alpha_t, \omega)$.*

Proof. The proof which we will present here is due to Araki [2], see also Lemma 3.2.5. in [34]. We denote the weak extension of ω to the von Neumann algebra $\mathfrak{M}_\omega$ by $\tilde{\omega}$, namely $\tilde{\omega}(X) = (\Omega_\omega, X\Omega_\omega)$ for $X \in \mathfrak{M}_\omega$.

Assume that $\eta \in \mathscr{H}_\omega$ is a normalized eigenvector of the Hamiltonian H_ω to an eigenvalue $c \in \mathbb{R}$ which is possibly 0. Assume that η is not a scalar multiple of Ω_ω. Then we can assume that η is orthogonal Ω_ω by the self-adjointness of H_ω. We can write $\eta = X\Omega_\omega$ by using the cyclic vector Ω_ω and some $X \in \mathfrak{M}_\omega$. Let $X_t := \widetilde{\alpha}_{\omega,t}(X)$ ($t \in \mathbb{R}$), where $\widetilde{\alpha}_{\omega,t}$ denotes the one-parameter group of automorphisms of $\mathfrak{M}_\omega$ that implements α_t as in (2.3). By noting α_t-invariance of ω, it is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\omega}(X_t^* X) &= \Big(X_t\Omega_\omega,\ X\Omega_\omega\Big) = \Big(e^{itH_\omega}Xe^{-itH_\omega}\Omega_\omega,\ X\Omega_\omega\Big) = \Big(e^{itH_\omega}X\Omega_\omega,\ X\Omega_\omega\Big) \\ &= \Big(e^{itH_\omega}\eta,\ \eta\Big) = \Big(e^{itc}\eta,\ \eta\Big) = e^{-itc}\Big(\eta,\ \eta\Big) = e^{-itc}.\end{aligned}$$

By $\eta \perp \Omega_\omega$ we have

$$\tilde{\omega}(X) = \Big(\Omega_\omega,\ X\Omega_\omega\Big) = \Big(\Omega_\omega,\ \eta\Big) = 0.$$

Similarly, by $\eta \perp \Omega_\omega$ and α_t-invariance of ω we have

$$\tilde{\omega}(X_t^*) = \tilde{\omega}(X^*) = \Big(\Omega_\omega,\ X^*\Omega_\omega\Big) = \Big(X\Omega_\omega,\ \Omega_\omega\Big) = \Big(\eta,\ \Omega_\omega\Big) = 0.$$

By combining the above equalities we obtain

$$\tilde{\omega}(X_t^* X) - \tilde{\omega}(X_t^*)\tilde{\omega}(X) = e^{-itc} \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{3.10}$$

This means that the mixing property does not hold for such $X \in \mathfrak{M}_\omega$ and its adjoint.

By the dense property of the subalgebra $\pi_\omega(\mathcal{A})$ in the von Neumann algebra $\mathfrak{M}_\omega$ with respect to the strong operator topology, there is an element in $\mathcal{A}$ for which the mixing property does not hold for the dynamics α_t and the α_t-invariant state ω on $\mathcal{A}$. Precisely, let us take a sequence $A_n \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $\pi_\omega(A_n)\Omega_\omega \to X\Omega_\omega \equiv \eta \in \mathscr{H}_\omega$ as $n \to \infty$. By noting the above argument to lead to (3.10), for any given $\epsilon > 0$ there exists some n_0 such that for any $n > n_0$

$$\left|\omega\left(\alpha_t(A_n^*)A\right) - \omega\left(\alpha_t(A_n^*)\right)\omega(A) - e^{-itc}\right| < \epsilon \quad \text{uniformly for } \ t \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{3.11}$$

This estimate shows that the mixing property does not hold for such $A_n \in \mathcal{A}$ and its conjugate. □

We now give a sufficient condition for breaking the asymptotic abelian condition.

Proposition 3.5. *Let $(\mathcal{A},\ \gamma)$ denote a quasi-local graded C^*-algebra. Let ω be an α_t-invariant factor state. If there exists an eigenvector of the GNS Hamiltonian H_ω other than $c\Omega_\omega$ $(0 \neq c \in \mathbb{C})$ in the GNS Hilbert space $\mathscr{H}_\omega$ of ω, then α_t $(t \in \mathbb{R})$ fails the norm asymptotic abelian condition.*

Proof. From Proposition 3.4 the mixing property is violated. From this and Proposition 3.1 α_t $(t \in \mathbb{R})$ fails the norm asymptotic abelian condition. □

From Proposition 3.1 we propose the following notion that can test the asymptotic abelian condition. It will be useful later in Section 7.2.

Definition 3.6. Let $(\mathcal{A},\ \gamma)$ be a quasi-local graded C^*-algebra. Suppose that α_t $(t \in \mathbb{R})$ is a strongly continuous one-parameter group of automorphisms on $\mathcal{A}$. Let B be a non-scalar element in $\mathcal{A}$. If there exists an α_t-invariant factor state ω on $\mathcal{A}$ such that the weak convergence formula (3.6) in Proposition 3.1 fails, then $B \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be non-diffusive with respect to the dynamics α_t $(t \in \mathbb{R})$.

Proposition 3.7. *Let $(\mathcal{A},\ \gamma)$ be a quasi-local graded C^*-algebra and α_t $(t \in \mathbb{R})$ be a strongly continuous one-parameter group of automorphisms on $\mathcal{A}$. If there exists a non-diffusive element in $\mathcal{A}$ multiplied by some scalar constant $c \in \mathbb{C}$, then α_t $(t \in \mathbb{R})$ is not norm asymptotic abelian.*

Proof. From Definition 3.6 combined with Proposition 3.1 the statement immediately follows. □

The following obvious statement is useful.

Proposition 3.8. *Let $(\mathcal{A},\ \gamma)$ be a quasi-local graded C^*-algebra and α_t $(t \in \mathbb{R})$ be a strongly continuous one-parameter group of automorphisms on $\mathcal{A}$. Suppose that there is a non-scalar element $B \in \mathcal{A}$ which is α_t-invariant*

$$\alpha_t(B) = B \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{3.12}$$

Then such $B \in \mathcal{A}$ is non-diffusive with respect to α_t $(t \in \mathbb{R})$.

Proof. By the requirement QL3 for the quasi-local C^*-system the C^*-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is simple. Thus any non-trivial representation of $\mathcal{A}$ is injective. Hence as $B \in \mathcal{A}$ is not a scalar, for any state ω on $\mathcal{A}$ we have

$$\pi_\omega\left(\alpha_t(B)\right) = \pi_\omega(B) \neq cI_\omega \ (c \in \mathbb{C}) \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{3.13}$$

This contradicts with the convergence formula (3.6). Thus we conclude that B is non-diffusive for the dynamics α_t $(t \in \mathbb{R})$. □

The following terminology is convenient.

Definition 3.9. Let ω be a state of a C^*-algebra $\mathcal{A}$. Let P be a non-scalar element of $\mathcal{A}$ such that $\omega(P^*P) > 0$. Then ω_P denotes a state on $\mathcal{A}$ defined by

$$\omega^P(A) = \frac{\omega(P^*AP)}{\omega(P^*P)} \quad \text{for} \quad A \in \mathcal{A}. \tag{3.14}$$

This ω^P is called a locally perturbed state of ω by $P \in \mathcal{A}$. Let $\left(\mathscr{H}_\omega,\ \pi_\omega,\ \Omega_\omega\right)$ denote the GNS triplet for the state ω on $\mathcal{A}$. Then $\omega^P(A) = \left(\Omega_\omega^P, \pi_\omega(A)\Omega_\omega^P\right)$ holds for all $A \in \mathcal{A}$, where

$$\Omega_\omega^P := \frac{1}{\omega(P^*P)^{\frac{1}{2}}}\pi_\omega(P)\Omega_\omega \in \mathscr{H}_\omega. \tag{3.15}$$

This normalized vector Ω_ω^P is called the normalized perturbed vector of Ω_ω by the local element $P \in \mathcal{A}$.

For each bound state there exists a local (or quasi-local) operator which generates this state. Such operator is always non-diffusive.

Proposition 3.10. *Let ω be an α_t-invariant factor state. If a normalized local perturbed vector Ω_ω^B with some $B \in \mathcal{A}$ is an eigenvector of the GNS Hamiltonian H_ω other than $e^{i\theta}\Omega_\omega$ $(0 \leq \theta < 2\pi)$ in the GNS Hilbert space $\mathscr{H}_\omega$ of ω, then such B is non-diffusive with respect to α_t $(t \in \mathbb{R})$.*

Proof. Let $\omega(B^*B) \equiv K > 0$. By the assumption $H_\omega\Omega_\omega^B = k\Omega_\omega^B$, where k is some real number which is possibly 0. One can assume that the (normalized) eigenvector Ω_ω^B is orthogonal to Ω_ω by the assumption and the self-adjointness of H_ω. Hence for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\begin{aligned}\Big(\pi_\omega\left(\alpha_t(B)\right)\Omega_\omega,\ \pi_\omega\left(B\right)\Omega_\omega\Big) &= \Big(e^{itH_\omega}\pi_\omega(B)e^{-itH_\omega}\Omega_\omega,\ \pi_\omega\left(B\right)\Omega_\omega\Big) \\ &= \Big(e^{itk}\pi_\omega\left(B\right)\Omega_\omega,\ \pi_\omega\left(B\right)\Omega_\omega\Big) = e^{-itk}\omega(B^*B) = e^{-itk}K. \end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

Suppose that (3.6) holds. By letting $t \to \infty$ of (3.16) we have

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{t\to\infty}\Big(\pi_\omega\left(\alpha_t(B)\right)\Omega_\omega,\ \pi_\omega\left(B\right)\Omega_\omega\Big) &= \Big(\omega(B)I_\omega\Omega_\omega,\ \pi_\omega\left(B\right)\Omega_\omega\Big) \\ = \overline{\omega(B)}\Big(\Omega_\omega,\ \pi_\omega\left(B\right)\Omega_\omega\Big) &= \overline{\omega(B)}\omega(B^*B)^{\frac{1}{2}}\Big(\Omega_\omega,\ \Omega_\omega^B\Big) = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

where we used finally $\Omega_\omega \perp \Omega_\omega^B$. The above two relations (3.16)) (3.17) are contradictory. Accordingly (3.6) should not be satisfied, and $B \in \mathcal{A}$ is non-diffusive for the dynamics α_t. □

4 Supersymmetric fermion lattice model by Nicolai

This section is entirely indebted to our general framework of supersymmetric fermion lattice systems [48]. As we intend to investigate quantum dynamics on the infinite system in a state-independent manner, the C^*-algebra formulation is essential.

4.1 A mathematical formulation of fermion lattice systems

A general mathematical formulation of fermion lattice systems can be provided by a graded quasi-local system on the CAR algebra. In the following for simplicity and definiteness we consider integer lattice $\mathbb{Z}^\nu$, $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$. However, other lattice and graphs can be treated in a similar manner. For the distance of two sites $x = (x_i),\ y = (y_i) \in \mathbb{Z}^\nu$ let $|x-y| := \max_{1\le i\le \nu} |x_i - y_i|$. For any subset I of $\mathbb{Z}^\nu$ we denote the number of sites in I by $|\mathrm{I}|$. The notation 'I $\Subset \mathbb{Z}^\nu$' means that I $\subset \mathbb{Z}^\nu$ and $|\mathrm{I}| < \infty$.

We consider spinless fermions over $\mathbb{Z}^\nu$ that interact with each other. Let a_i and a_i^* denote the annihilation operator and the creation operator of a spinless fermion at $i \in \mathbb{Z}^\nu$, respectively. The canonical anticommutation relations (CARs) are satisfied:

$$\begin{aligned} &\{a_i^*, a_j\} = \delta_{i,j}\, 1, \\ &\{a_i^*, a_j^*\} = \{a_i, a_j\} = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

For each I $\Subset \mathbb{Z}^\nu$, let $\mathcal{A}(\mathrm{I})$ denote the finite-dimensional algebra generated by $\{a_i^*,\ a_i\,;\ i \in \mathrm{I}\}$. It is isomorphic to $\mathrm{M}_{2^{|\mathrm{I}|}}(\mathbb{C})$, the algebra of all $2^{|\mathrm{I}|} \times 2^{|\mathrm{I}|}$ complex matrices. For I $\subset$ J $\Subset \mathbb{Z}^\nu$, $\mathcal{A}(\mathrm{I})$ is imbedded into $\mathcal{A}(\mathrm{J})$ as a subalgebra. By this identification we define

$$\mathcal{A}_\circ := \bigcup_{\mathrm{I}\Subset\mathbb{Z}^\nu} \mathcal{A}(\mathrm{I}). \tag{4.2}$$

The C^*-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ given by the norm completion of the normed $*$-algebra $\mathcal{A}_\circ$ is called the CAR algebra. The dense $*$-subalgebra $\mathcal{A}_\circ$ in $\mathcal{A}$ is called the local algebra. Let γ denote the automorphism on the C^*-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ determined by

$$\gamma(a_i) = -a_i, \quad \gamma(a_i^*) = -a_i^*, \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{Z}^\nu. \tag{4.3}$$

As $\gamma \circ \gamma = \mathrm{id}$, the grading structure is given to $\mathcal{A}$ as in (3.3). Similarly, for each I $\Subset \mathbb{Z}^\nu$,

$$\mathcal{A}(\mathrm{I}) = \mathcal{A}(\mathrm{I})_+ \oplus \mathcal{A}(\mathrm{I})_-, \quad \text{where} \quad \mathcal{A}(\mathrm{I})_+ := \mathcal{A}(\mathrm{I}) \cap \mathcal{A}_+, \quad \mathcal{A}(\mathrm{I})_- := \mathcal{A}(\mathrm{I}) \cap \mathcal{A}_-, \tag{4.4}$$

and for the local algebra

$$\mathcal{A}_\circ = \mathcal{A}_{\circ+} \oplus \mathcal{A}_{\circ-}, \quad \text{where} \quad \mathcal{A}_{\circ+} := \mathcal{A}_\circ \cap \mathcal{A}_+, \quad \mathcal{A}_{\circ-} := \mathcal{A}_\circ \cap \mathcal{A}_-. \tag{4.5}$$

Due to the canonical anticommutation relations (CARs) (4.1) the γ-locality holds:

$$[A,\ B]_\gamma = 0 \ \text{ for every } \ A \in \mathcal{A}(\mathrm{I}) \text{ and } \ B \in \mathcal{A}(\mathrm{J}) \ \text{ if } \ \mathrm{I} \cap \mathrm{J} = \emptyset,\ \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{J} \Subset \mathbb{Z}^\nu. \tag{4.6}$$

We will introduce basic symmetry transformations. Let σ denote the shift-translation group on $\mathcal{A}$: For any $k \in \mathbb{Z}^\nu$

$$\sigma_k(a_i) = a_{i+k}, \quad \sigma_k(a_i^*) = a_{i+k}^*, \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{Z}^\nu. \tag{4.7}$$

By γ_θ ($\theta \in [0,\ 2\pi]$) we denote the global $U(1)$-symmetry defined as

$$\gamma_\theta(a_i) = e^{-i\theta}a_i, \quad \gamma_\theta(a_i^*) = e^{i\theta}a_i^*, \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{Z}^\nu. \tag{4.8}$$

By definition γ_π gives the grading γ defined in (4.3).

4.2 C^*-algebraic formulation of supersymmetric fermion lattice models

We will shortly recall the general C^*-algebraic framework of supersymmetric fermion lattice models given in [48]. The basic building kit is a nilpotent superderivation defined on the local algebra of the fermion system.

First we introduce *bounded* superderivations. Take a non-zero odd element $q \in \mathcal{A}_-$. Define the following linear map from $\mathcal{A}$ into $\mathcal{A}$ by using the graded commutator

$$\delta_q(A) := [q,\ A]_\gamma \quad \text{for every } A \in \mathcal{A}. \tag{4.9}$$

This is a bounded superderivation defined on the whole system $\mathcal{A}$. We take its conjugate superderivation as

$$\delta_q{}^* := \delta_{q^*}. \tag{4.10}$$

Now we assume that q is nilpotent (inevitably it is *not* self-adjoint).

$$q^2 = 0. \tag{4.11}$$

This implies that both δ_q and $\delta_q{}^*$ are nilpotent:

$$\delta_q \cdot \delta_q = \mathbf{0} = \delta_q{}^* \cdot \delta_q{}^*. \tag{4.12}$$

Let

$$h := \{q^*,\ q\} \in \mathcal{A}_+. \tag{4.13}$$

By definition $h = h^*$ and $h \geq 0$. With this self-adjoint element we define a bounded derivation

$$d_h(F) := [h,\ A] \ \text{ for every } A \in \mathcal{A}. \tag{4.14}$$

Those $\{q,\, q^*,\, h\}$ satisfy the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetry relation as defined in Section 1.2. We can see

$$d_h = \delta_q{}^* \cdot \delta_q + \delta_q \cdot \delta_q{}^*. \tag{4.15}$$

This identity together with (4.12) represents a local $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetry in terms of $\{\delta_q, \delta_q{}^*, d_h\}$. Below we will introduce (global) $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetry models in terms of unbounded superderivations.

Now we will provide a model on the fermion lattice system. Suppose that Ψ is a map from the set of finite subsets $\{\mathrm{I};\ \mathrm{I} \Subset \mathbb{Z}^\nu\}$ to the local algebra $\mathcal{A}_\circ$ such that

$$\Psi : \mathrm{I} \ \longmapsto \Psi(\mathrm{I}) \in \mathcal{A}(\mathrm{I})_- \quad \text{for every } \mathrm{I} \Subset \mathbb{Z}^\nu. \tag{4.16}$$

Assume that this map is uniformly bounded:

$$\|\Psi\| := \sup_{\mathrm{I} \Subset \mathbb{Z}^\nu} \|\Psi(\mathrm{I})\| < \infty. \tag{4.17}$$

The above $\Psi(\mathrm{I}) \in \mathcal{A}(\mathrm{I})_-$ will be called *a local fermion charge* on I and the map Ψ will be called *an assignment of local fermion charges* (over $\mathbb{Z}^\nu$). The conjugate of Ψ is defined as

$$\Psi^* : \mathrm{I} \longmapsto \Psi(\mathrm{I})^* \in \mathcal{A}(\mathrm{I})_- \quad \text{for every } \mathrm{I} \Subset \mathbb{Z}^\nu. \tag{4.18}$$

We shall impose assumptions upon Ψ. First assume that it is a finite range. Namely assume that there exists a finite number $r \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ such that

$$\Psi(\mathrm{I}) = 0 \quad \text{whenever } \mathrm{diam}(\mathrm{I}) \equiv \max_{x,y \in \mathrm{I}} |x - y| > r. \tag{4.19}$$

The minimum non-negative integer r satisfying the above condition (4.19) is called the range of Ψ. Of course, Ψ^* has the same range r as that of Ψ. Let Ψ be an assignment of local fermion charges satisfying the finite-range condition (4.19). Then for every $\mathrm{I} \Subset \mathbb{Z}^\nu$ let us define a linear map from $\mathcal{A}(\mathrm{I})$ to $\mathcal{A}_\circ$ by

$$\delta_\Psi(A) := \sum_{\mathrm{X} \cap \mathrm{I} \neq \emptyset,\, \mathrm{X} \Subset \mathbb{Z}^\nu} \delta_{\Psi(X)}(A) = \sum_{\mathrm{X} \cap \mathrm{I} \neq \emptyset,\, \mathrm{X} \Subset \mathbb{Z}^\nu} [\Psi(\mathrm{X}),\ A]_\gamma \ \text{ for every } \ A \in \mathcal{A}(\mathrm{I}), \tag{4.20}$$

where the summation is taken over all finite subsets $\{\mathrm{X}; \mathrm{X} \Subset \mathbb{Z}^\nu\}$ that have a non trivial intersection with I. The set of formulas (4.20) over all $\{\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{I} \Subset \mathbb{Z}^\nu\}$ uniquely determine a linear map from $\mathcal{A}_\circ$ into $\mathcal{A}_\circ$:

$$\delta_\Psi(A) = \sum_{\mathrm{X} \Subset \mathbb{Z}^\nu} [\Psi(\mathrm{X}),\ A]_\gamma \quad \text{for every } A \in \mathcal{A}_\circ. \tag{4.21}$$

For each $A \in \mathcal{A}_\circ$ only a finite number of finite subsets $\{\mathrm{X}; \mathrm{X} \Subset \mathbb{Z}^\nu\}$ may contribute to the above formula. We verify that δ_Ψ is an *odd* linear map

$$\delta_\Psi \cdot \gamma = -\gamma \cdot \delta_\Psi \ \text{ on } \mathcal{A}_\circ, \tag{4.22}$$

and that it satisfies the *graded Leibniz rule*:

$$\delta_\Psi(AB) = \delta_\Psi(A)B + \gamma(A)\delta_\Psi(B) \ \text{ for every } A, B \in \mathcal{A}_\circ. \tag{4.23}$$

Namely δ_Ψ is a *superderivation*. The conjugate superderivation δ_Ψ^* for δ_Ψ is given as

$$\delta_\Psi^*(A) \equiv \delta_{\Psi^*}(A) = \sum_{\mathrm{X} \Subset \mathbb{Z}^\nu} [\Psi^*(\mathrm{X}),\ A]_\gamma \ \text{ for every } \ A \in \mathcal{A}_\circ. \tag{4.24}$$

By definition

$$\delta_\Psi(\mathcal{A}_{\circ\pm}) \subset \mathcal{A}_{\circ\mp},\ \delta_\Psi^*(\mathcal{A}_{\circ\pm}) \subset \mathcal{A}_{\circ\mp}. \tag{4.25}$$

We require that the superderivation δ_Ψ associated to Ψ satisfies the nilpotent condition:

$$\delta_\Psi \cdot \delta_\Psi = \mathbf{0} \ \text{ on } \ \mathcal{A}_\circ. \tag{4.26}$$

If δ_Ψ is nilpotent, then the conjugate superderivation is automatically nilpotent:

$$\delta_\Psi^* \cdot \delta_\Psi^* = \mathbf{0} \ \text{ on } \ \mathcal{A}_\circ. \tag{4.27}$$

It is a good place to define unbroken-broken supersymmetry. If a state on $\mathcal{A}$ is invariant under δ_Ψ:

$$\varphi\left(\delta_\Psi(A)\right) = 0 \ \text{ for every } A \in \mathcal{A}_\circ, \tag{4.28}$$

then it is said to be a supersymmetric state for the supersymmetry model determined by Ψ. If there exists such a supersymmetric state, then the model is said to unbroken supersymmetry. If there exists no such state, then the model is said to be broken supersymmetry.

The assignment of local fermion charges Ψ will be called 'nilpotent'[4], if its associated superderivation δ_Ψ is nilpotent (4.26). A complete characterization of such nilpotent Ψ is given in [48]. Let

$$d_\Psi := \delta_\Psi^* \cdot \delta_\Psi + \delta_\Psi \cdot \delta_\Psi^* \ \text{ on } \ \mathcal{A}_\circ. \tag{4.29}$$

It is easy to see that d_Ψ satisfies

$$d_\Psi \cdot \gamma = \gamma \cdot d_\Psi \text{ on } \mathcal{A}_\circ, \tag{4.30}$$

and

$$d_\Psi(AB) = d_\Psi(A)B + Ad_\Psi(B) \ \text{ for every } A, B \in \mathcal{A}_\circ. \tag{4.31}$$

By (4.29) (4.26) (4.27) the following commutativity relations hold:

$$\delta_\Psi \cdot d_\Psi = d_\Psi \cdot \delta_\Psi, \quad \delta_\Psi^* \cdot d_\Psi = d_\Psi \cdot \delta_\Psi^* \ \text{ on } \ \mathcal{A}_\circ. \tag{4.32}$$

From Ψ satisfying the demands listed above, a $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetry model is given in terms of (unbounded) superderivations and bounded derivation $\{\delta_\Psi, \delta_\Psi^*, d_\Psi\}$ on the fermion lattice system.

The derivation d_Ψ on $\mathcal{A}_\circ$ uniquely determines a finite-range interaction over the fermion lattice system. In fact the range of d_Ψ is at most $2r - 1$. Thus d_Ψ yields a time evolution α_t^Ψ ($t \in \mathbb{R}$) on $\mathcal{A}$, a strongly continuous one parameter group of $*$-automorphisms of $\mathcal{A}$ as in the following theorem. The following two statements are given in [48]. Those are crucial in this work.

Theorem 4.1. *Let Ψ be a uniformly bounded nilpotent finite-range assignment of local fermion charges on the fermion lattice system $\mathcal{A}$. Then there exists a strongly continuous one parameter group of $*$-automorphisms α_t^Ψ ($t \in \mathbb{R}$) on $\mathcal{A}$ whose pre-generator is given by the derivation $d_\Psi \equiv \delta_\Psi^* \cdot \delta_\Psi + \delta_\Psi \cdot \delta_\Psi^*$ defined on the local algebra $\mathcal{A}_\circ$.*

Theorem 4.2. *Let Ψ be a uniformly bounded nilpotent finite-range assignment of local fermion charges on the fermion lattice system $\mathcal{A}$. Suppose that a state φ on $\mathcal{A}$ is a supersymmetric state for the supersymmetry model determined by Ψ. Then φ is also a ground state (in the sense of Definition 5.3.18 of [12]) for the one-parameter group of $*$-automorphisms α_t^Ψ ($t \in \mathbb{R}$). In particular, φ is invariant under α_t^Ψ ($t \in \mathbb{R}$).*

From Theorem 4.1 we can derive the following statement on which our discussion (which will appear in Section 5) essentially rely.

Proposition 4.3. *Let δ_Ψ denote any nilpotent superderivation defined on $\mathcal{A}_\circ$ generated by a uniformly bounded finite-range assignment of local fermion charges on*

[4]This strange term may be clear in the present context.

the fermion lattice system Ψ. Let $B \in \mathcal{A}_\circ$ be any element which is annihilated by each of the superderivations δ_Ψ and δ_Ψ^* associated to Ψ. Namely assume that

$$\delta_\Psi(B) = 0, \quad \delta_\Psi^*(B) = 0. \tag{4.33}$$

Then $B \in \mathcal{A}_\circ$ is invariant under the time evolution α_t^Ψ ($t \in \mathbb{R}$) associated to Ψ:

$$\alpha_t^\Psi(B) = B \quad \textit{for every } t \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{4.34}$$

In particular, such $B \in \mathcal{A}_\circ$ is a non-diffusive element in the sense of Definition 3.6.

Proof. From the definition of d_Ψ (4.29) the assumption (4.33) yields

$$d_\Psi(B) = 0. \tag{4.35}$$

Since d_Ψ is a pre-generator for the strongly continuous one parameter group of $*$-automorphisms α_t^Ψ ($t \in \mathbb{R}$) on $\mathcal{A}$ by Theorem 4.1, (4.35) implies (5.20). □

4.3 C^*-dynamics of the Nicolai supersymmetric fermion lattice model

We will introduce the supersymmetric fermion lattice model given by Nicolai [58] based on the C^*-algebraic formulation in the preceding subsection. We will mainly consider one-dimensional integer lattice $\mathbb{Z}$. However, we can provide the Nicolai model on any dimensional integer lattice $\mathbb{Z}^\nu$ as we will see later in Section 8.

Define the following assignment of local fermion charges over $\mathbb{Z}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_{\mathrm{Nic}}\big(\{2i-1,\, 2i,\, 2i+1\}\big) &:= a_{2i+1}a_{2i}^*a_{2i-1} \in \mathcal{A}\big(\{2i-1,\, 2i,\, 2i+1\}\big)_- \\ &\qquad \text{on } \{2i-1,\, 2i,\, 2i+1\} \ (i \in \mathbb{Z}), \\ \Psi_{\mathrm{Nic}}(\mathrm{I}) &:= 0 \ \text{ on any other } \mathrm{I} \Subset \mathbb{Z}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.36}$$

By definition Ψ_{Nic} is 2-periodic by the lattice translation on $\mathbb{Z}$ and it is finite range of the length $r = 2$. The nilpotent condition (4.26) necessary for the supersymmetry relation is satisfied by the superderivation $\delta_{\Psi_{\mathrm{Nic}}}$ associated to this Ψ_{Nic}, since for any pair $\mathrm{X}_1 \Subset \mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathrm{X}_2 \Subset \mathbb{Z}$ the identity $\Psi_{\mathrm{Nic}}(\mathrm{X}_2)\Psi_{\mathrm{Nic}}(\mathrm{X}_1) = 0$ holds. This is much stronger than required to be nilpotent see [48] for the detail. Hence Ψ_{Nic} gives a nilpotent finite-range assignment of local fermion charges over $\mathbb{Z}$ and it generates a supersymmetric dynamics on the CAR algebra as shown in Section 4.2.

For convenience we shall rewrite the above model defined in terms of the superderivation in a more common form. By this one easily see that every finite-range supersymmetric fermion lattice model given in the C^*-algebraic framework of Section 4.2 can be cast to a more standard form as described in Section 1.2. Let

$$Q_{\mathrm{Nic}} := \sum_{\mathrm{X} \Subset \mathbb{Z}} \Psi_{\mathrm{Nic}}(\mathrm{X}) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} a_{2i+1}a_{2i}^*a_{2i-1}, \tag{4.37}$$

$$Q_{\mathrm{Nic}}^* = \sum_{\mathrm{X} \Subset \mathbb{Z}} \Psi_{\mathrm{Nic}}^*(\mathrm{X}) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} -a_{2i+1}^*a_{2i}a_{2i-1}^*. \tag{4.38}$$

Then its supersymmetric Hamiltonian has the following formula:

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\mathrm{Nic}} &:= \{Q_{\mathrm{Nic}},\ Q_{\mathrm{Nic}}^*\} \\ &= \sum_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} \big\{ a_{2i}^* a_{2i-1} a_{2i+2} a_{2i+3}^* + a_{2i-1}^* a_{2i} a_{2i+3} a_{2i+2}^* \\ &\quad + a_{2i}^* a_{2i} a_{2i+1} a_{2i+1}^* + a_{2i-1}^* a_{2i-1} a_{2i} a_{2i}^* - a_{2i-1}^* a_{2i-1} a_{2i+1} a_{2i+1}^* \big\}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.39}$$

From this we see

$$\gamma_\theta(H_{\mathrm{Nic}}) = H_{\mathrm{Nic}}\ \forall \theta \in [0,\ 2\pi], \tag{4.40}$$

and that

$$\sigma_k(H_{\mathrm{Nic}}) = H_{\mathrm{Nic}} \quad \forall k \in 2\mathbb{Z}. \tag{4.41}$$

Thus the Nicola model has global $U(1)$-symmetry and $\mathbb{Z}_2$-periodicity in space.

The above symbols Q_{Nic}, Q_{Nic}^*, and H_{Nic} will be realized as linear operators on a Hilbert space only after taking some appropriate representation. However, those precisely determine infinitesimal generators on the local algebra. Due to the graded locality we can define the superderivation $\delta_{\Psi_{\mathrm{Nic}}}$ associated to this Ψ_{Nic} by the formula (4.20). By substituting the above operator formula (4.37) into (4.21) (which is equal to (4.20) by the graded locality) we have the following relation:

$$\delta_{\Psi_{\mathrm{Nic}}}(A) = [Q_{\mathrm{Nic}},\ A]_\gamma \ \text{ for every } \ A \in \mathcal{A}_\circ. \tag{4.42}$$

Similarly, as the conjugate superderivation $\delta_{\Psi_{\mathrm{Nic}}}^*$ is associated to Ψ_{Nic}^*, from (4.38) we have

$$\delta_{\Psi_{\mathrm{Nic}}}^*(A) = [Q_{\mathrm{Nic}}^*,\ A]_\gamma \ \text{ for every } \ A \in \mathcal{A}_\circ. \tag{4.43}$$

For the Hamiltonian, by using the commutator in place of the graded-commutator, we have

$$d_\Psi(A) = [H_{\mathrm{Nic}},\ A] \ \text{ for every } \ A \in \mathcal{A}_\circ. \tag{4.44}$$

We will show some supersymmetric states for this model. Let $|1\rangle_i$ and $|0\rangle_i$ denote the occupied state and the empty state of the spinless fermion at any site $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, respectively. The following relations hold for every $i \in \mathbb{Z}$:

$$a_i|1\rangle_i = |0\rangle_i,\ a_i^*|1\rangle_i = 0,\ a_i^*|0\rangle_i = |1\rangle_i,\ a_i|0\rangle_i = 0. \tag{4.45}$$

We introduce the translation invariant product vector over $\mathbb{Z}$ Let

$$\eta_0 := \cdots \otimes |0\rangle \otimes |0\rangle_{-2} \otimes |0\rangle_{-1} \otimes |0\rangle_0 \otimes |0\rangle_1 \otimes |0\rangle_2 \otimes |0\rangle \otimes |0\rangle \otimes |0\rangle \otimes |0\rangle \cdots, \tag{4.46}$$

which corresponds to the translation invariant Fock state ψ_0 determined by

$$\psi_0(a_j^* a_j) = 0 \text{ for all } j \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{4.47}$$

Let

$$\eta_1 := \cdots \otimes |1\rangle \otimes |1\rangle_{-2} \otimes |1\rangle_{-1} \otimes |1\rangle_0 \otimes |1\rangle_1 \otimes |1\rangle_2 \otimes |1\rangle \otimes |1\rangle \otimes |1\rangle \otimes |1\rangle \cdots, \tag{4.48}$$

which corresponds to the fully occupied state ψ_1 determined by

$$\psi_1(a_j a_j^*) = 0 \text{ for all } j \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{4.49}$$

For each $i \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$0 = a_{2i+1}a_{2i}^* a_{2i-1}\eta_0 = a_{2i+1}^* a_{2i} a_{2i-1}^* \eta_0,$$

$$0 = a_{2i+1}a_{2i}^* a_{2i-1}\eta_1 = a_{2i+1}^* a_{2i} a_{2i-1}^* \eta_1.$$

Hence we have

$$0 = Q_{\rm Nic}\eta_0 = Q_{\rm Nic}^*\eta_0,$$

and

$$0 = Q_{\rm Nic}\eta_1 = Q_{\rm Nic}^*\eta_1.$$

These vanishing formulas tell that both ψ_0 and ψ_1 are supersymmetric states. In fact, both ψ_0 and ψ_1 are invariant under $\delta_{\Psi_{\rm Nic}}$:

$$\psi_0\big(\delta_{\Psi_{\rm Nic}}(A)\big) = \sum_{\mathrm{X}\Subset\mathbb{Z}} \psi_0\big([\Psi_{\rm Nic}(\mathrm{X}),\ A]_\gamma\big) = 0 \text{ for all } A \in \mathcal{A}_\circ, \tag{4.50}$$

and

$$\psi_1\big(\delta_{\Psi_{\rm Nic}}(A)\big) = \sum_{\mathrm{X}\Subset\mathbb{Z}} \psi_1\big([\Psi_{\rm Nic}(\mathrm{X}),\ A]_\gamma\big) = 0 \text{ for all } A \in \mathcal{A}_\circ. \tag{4.51}$$

Therefore, the model is unbroken supersymmetry. Let us summarize the results given in this subsection.

Proposition 4.4. *Let $\Psi_{\rm Nic}$ denote the bounded nilpotent finite-range assignment of local fermion charges for the Nicolai model defined in* (4.36)*. Then there exists a strongly continuous one parameter group of $*$-automorphisms $\alpha_t^{\Psi_{\rm Nic}}$ $(t \in \mathbb{R})$ on the fermion lattice system $\mathcal{A}$ whose pre-generator is given by the derivation $d_{\Psi_{\rm Nic}} \equiv \delta_{\Psi_{\rm Nic}}^* \cdot \delta_{\Psi_{\rm Nic}} + \delta_{\Psi_{\rm Nic}} \cdot \delta_{\Psi_{\rm Nic}}^*$ defined on $\mathcal{A}_\circ$. The Nicolai model is unbroken supersymmetry: there are many supersymmetric states such as the Fock state ψ_0, the fully occupied state ψ_1, and many other (classical) supersymmetric states which will be given in Section 6.*

5 Infinitely many local fermionic constants of motion for the Nicolai model

We will show that the Nicolai model given in Section 4.3 has infinitely many local fermionic constants of motion (local kinematical supercharges).

5.1 Local forbidden and permitted sequences

We need a preliminary.

Definition 5.1. Let I be some interval of $\mathbb{Z}$, i.e. $\mathrm{I} = [m, n] = \{m, m+1, \cdots, n-1, n\}$ with $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ $(m < n)$. Let f be a $\{-1, +1\}$-valued function on I. If either

$$f(2i-1) = -1, \quad f(2i) = +1, \quad f(2i+1) = -1, \tag{5.1}$$

or

$$f(2i-1) = +1, \quad f(2i) = -1, \quad f(2i+1) = +1, \tag{5.2}$$

holds for some $\{2i-1,\ 2i,\ 2i+1\} \subset \mathrm{I}$ with $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, then f is said to be forbidden. Otherwise, if f does not include such subsequences (5.1) (5.2) anywhere in I, then it is said to be permitted. The set of all $\{-1, +1\}$-valued permitted sequences on I is denoted by Ξ_{I}.

In the following we will consider the following special intervals:

$$\mathrm{I}_{2k,2l} \equiv [2k,\ 2k+1,\ 2(k+1), \cdots\cdots, 2(l-1),\ 2l-1,\ , 2l], \quad k, l \in \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{such that} \quad k < l. \tag{5.3}$$

By definition $\mathrm{I}_{2k,2l}$ $(k, l \in \mathbb{Z}, k < l)$ of $\mathbb{Z}$ has odd number of sites $2(l-k)+1 (\geq 3)$, and its left-end and right-end edges are both even integers. We will look for fermion operators sitting on these $\mathrm{I}_{2k,2l}$. For this sake we define a subclass of permitted sequences on $\mathrm{I}_{2k,2l}$ imposing some additional requirement upon the edges.

Definition 5.2. Let f be a $\{-1, +1\}$-valued permitted sequence on the interval $\mathrm{I}_{2k,2l}$ with $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$ $(k < l)$ of (5.3), namely $f \in \Xi_{\mathrm{I}_{2k,2l}}$ as in Definition 5.1. Assume further that f takes a constant on the left-end pair sites $\{2k,\ 2k+1\}$, and that it also takes a constant on the right-end pair sites $\{2l-1,\ 2l\}$. Namely

$$f(2k) = f(2k+1) = +1 \quad \text{or} \quad f(2k) = f(2k+1) = -1 \tag{5.4}$$

and

$$f(2l-1) = f(2l) = +1 \quad \text{or} \quad f(2l-1) = f(2l) = -1 \tag{5.5}$$

are assumed to hold. The set of all $\{-1, +1\}$-valued permitted sequences on $\mathrm{I}_{2k,2l}$ satisfying the above marginal conditions on both edges is denoted by $\hat{\Xi}_{k,l}$. The union of $\hat{\Xi}_{k,l}$ over all $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$ $(k < l)$ is denoted by $\hat{\Xi}$. Each $f \in \hat{\Xi}$ is called a local digital sequence of conservation for the Nicolai model.

Remark 5.3. The requirements (5.4) (5.5) on the edges of $\mathrm{I}_{2k,2l}$ are essential to make conservations for the Nicolai model. Those can not be omitted or altered unlike the boundary conditions (like free, open, periodic, and so on) in statistical mechanics.

Remark 5.4. By crude estimate we can see that the number of local digital sequences of conservation in $\hat{\Xi}_{k,l}$ is roughly $(\frac{2^3-2}{2})^{(l-k)} = 3^{(l-k)} = 3^{m/2}$, where $m = 2(l-k)$ denotes approximately the size of the system (i.e. the number of sites in $\mathrm{I}_{2k,2l}$).

For some later purpose it is convenient to introduce the following special subclasses of $\hat{\Xi}$.

Definition 5.5. For each $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$ $(k < l)$ let $r^{+}_{[2k,2l]} \in \hat{\Xi}_{k,l}$ and $r^{-}_{[2k,2l]} \in \hat{\Xi}_{k,l}$ denote the constants over $\mathrm{I}_{2k,2l}$ taking $+1$ and -1, respectively:

$$r^{+}_{[2k,2l]}(i) = +1 \ \forall i \in \mathrm{I}_{2k,2l}, \quad r^{-}_{[2k,2l]}(i) = -1 \ \forall i \in \mathrm{I}_{2k,2l}. \tag{5.6}$$

These are called the $\pm$-characters supported on the segment $\mathrm{I}_{2k,2l}$. The set $\left\{ r^{+}_{[2k,2l]} \right\}$ over all $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$ $(k < l)$ will be denoted as $\hat{\Xi}^{+}_{\mathrm{const.}}$, and the set $\left\{ r^{-}_{[2k,2l]} \right\}$ over all $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$ $(k < l)$ will be denoted as $\hat{\Xi}^{-}_{\mathrm{const.}}$. Let $\hat{\Xi}_{\mathrm{const.}} := \hat{\Xi}^{+}_{\mathrm{const.}} \cup \hat{\Xi}^{-}_{\mathrm{const.}}$.

5.2 Assignment of local fermion operators

We shall give a rule to assign a local fermion operator for every local digital sequence of conservation in $\hat{\Xi}$ given in Definition 5.2.

Definition 5.6. For each $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ let ζ_i denote the assignment from $\{-1, +1\}$ into the fermion field at i given as

$$\zeta_i(-1) := a_i, \quad \zeta_i(+1) := a^{*}_i. \tag{5.7}$$

Take any pair of integers $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $k < l$. For each $f \in \hat{\Xi}_{k,l}$, set

$$\begin{aligned} \mathscr{Q}(f) &:= \prod_{i=2k}^{2l} \zeta_i \left(f(i) \right) \\ &\equiv \zeta_{2k} \left(f(2k) \right) \zeta_{2k+1} \left(f(2k+1) \right) \cdots\cdots \zeta_{2l-1} \left(f(2l-1) \right) \zeta_{2l} \left(f(2l) \right) \in \mathcal{A}(\mathrm{I}_{2k,2l})_{-}, \end{aligned} \tag{5.8}$$

where the multiplication is taken in the increasing order as above. The formulas (5.8) for all $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$ $(k < l)$ yield a unique assignment $\mathscr{Q}$ from $\hat{\Xi}$ to $\mathcal{A}_{\circ -}$.

By (5.8) of Definition 5.6 the following special local fermion operators are given for $\pm$-characters supported on the segment $\mathrm{I}_{2k,2l}$ in Definition 5.5. For $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$ $(k < l)$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathscr{Q}(r^{+}_{[2k,2l]}) &:= a^{*}_{2k} a^{*}_{2k+1} \cdots a^{*}_{2l-1} a^{*}_{2l} \in \mathcal{A}(\mathrm{I}_{2k,2l})_{-}, \\ \mathscr{Q}(r^{-}_{[2k,2l]}) &:= a_{2k} a_{2k+1} \cdots a_{2l-1} a_{2l} \in \mathcal{A}(\mathrm{I}_{2k,2l})_{-}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.9}$$

5.3 Examples

We will give concrete examples for local digital sequences of conservation in Definition 5.2 and their associated local fermion operators in Definition 5.6.

First we see that $\hat{\Xi}_{0,1}$ on $\mathrm{I}_{0,2} \equiv [0, 1, 2]$. consists of two $\pm$-characters only.

$\hat{\Xi}_{0,1}$	0	1	2
$r^{-}_{[0,2]}$	-1	-1	-1
$r^{+}_{[0,2]}$	$+1$	$+1$	$+1$

By (5.8) of Definition 5.6 we have the following fermion operators

$$\begin{aligned}\mathscr{Q}(r^-_{[0,2]}) &= a_0a_1a_2 \in \mathcal{A}(\mathrm{I}_{0,2})_-,\\ \mathscr{Q}(r^+_{[0,2]}) &= a_0^*a_1^*a_2^* \in \mathcal{A}(\mathrm{I}_{0,2})_-.\end{aligned} \tag{5.10}$$

We consider a next smallest segment $\mathrm{I}_{0,4} \equiv [0,1,2,3,4]$ by setting $k=0$ and $l=2$. The space $\hat{\Xi}_{0,2}$ on $\mathrm{I}_{0,4}$ consists of the following 5 digital sequences:

$\hat{\Xi}_{0,2}$	0	1	2	3	4
$r^-_{[0,4]}$	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1
$u^{\mathrm{i}}_{[0,4]}$	-1	-1	-1	$+1$	$+1$
$u^{\mathrm{ii}}_{[0,4]}$	-1	-1	$+1$	$+1$	$+1$
$v^{\mathrm{i}}_{[0,4]}$	$+1$	$+1$	$+1$	-1	-1
$v^{\mathrm{ii}}_{[0,4]}$	$+1$	$+1$	-1	-1	-1
$r^+_{[0,4]}$	$+1$	$+1$	$+1$	$+1$	$+1$

Note that

$$r^-_{[0,4]} = -r^+_{[0,4]},\ u^{\mathrm{i}}_{[0,4]} = -v^{\mathrm{i}}_{[0,4]},\ u^{\mathrm{ii}}_{[0,4]} = -v^{\mathrm{ii}}_{[0,4]}. \tag{5.11}$$

By (5.8) of Definition 5.6 we have accordingly

$$\begin{aligned}\mathscr{Q}(r^-_{[0,4]}) &= a_0a_1a_2a_3a_4 \in \mathcal{A}(\mathrm{I}_{0,4})_-,\\ \mathscr{Q}(u^{\mathrm{i}}_{[0,4]}) &= a_0a_1a_2a_3^*a_4^* \in \mathcal{A}(\mathrm{I}_{0,4})_-,\\ \mathscr{Q}(u^{\mathrm{ii}}_{[0,4]}) &= a_0a_1a_2^*a_3^*a_4^* \in \mathcal{A}(\mathrm{I}_{0,4})_-,\\ \mathscr{Q}(v^{\mathrm{i}}_{[0,4]}) &= a_0^*a_1^*a_2^*a_3a_4 \in \mathcal{A}(\mathrm{I}_{0,4})_-,\\ \mathscr{Q}(v^{\mathrm{ii}}_{[0,4]}) &= a_0^*a_1^*a_2a_3a_4 \in \mathcal{A}(\mathrm{I}_{0,4})_-,\\ \mathscr{Q}(r^+_{[0,4]}) &= a_0^*a_1^*a_2^*a_3^*a_4^* \in \mathcal{A}(\mathrm{I}_{0,4})_-.\end{aligned} \tag{5.12}$$

We then consider the segment $\mathrm{I}_{0,6} \equiv [0,1,2,3,4,5,6]$ taking $k=0$ and $l=3$. By definition it consists of $5+4+4+5=18$ digital sequences:

$\hat{\Xi}_{0,3}$	0	1	2	3	4	5	6
$s^{\circ}_{[0,6]}$	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1
$s^{\mathrm{i}}_{[0,6]}$	-1	-1	-1	$+1$	$+1$	-1	-1
$s^{\mathrm{ii}}_{[0,6]}$	-1	-1	$+1$	$+1$	-1	-1	-1
$s^{\mathrm{iii}}_{[0,6]}$	-1	-1	-1	$+1$	-1	-1	-1
$s^{\mathrm{iv}}_{[0,6]}$	-1	-1	$+1$	$+1$	$+1$	-1	-1
$u^{\mathrm{i}}_{[0,6]}$	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	$+1$	$+1$
$u^{\mathrm{ii}}_{[0,6]}$	-1	-1	-1	-1	$+1$	$+1$	$+1$
$u^{\mathrm{iii}}_{[0,6]}$	-1	-1	-1	$+1$	$+1$	$+1$	$+1$
$u^{\mathrm{iv}}_{[0,6]}$	-1	-1	$+1$	$+1$	$+1$	$+1$	$+1$
$v^{\mathrm{i}}_{[0,6]}$	$+1$	$+1$	$+1$	$+1$	$+1$	-1	-1
$v^{\mathrm{ii}}_{[0,6]}$	$+1$	$+1$	$+1$	$+1$	-1	-1	-1
$v^{\mathrm{iii}}_{[0,6]}$	$+1$	$+1$	$+1$	-1	-1	-1	-1
$v^{\mathrm{iv}}_{[0,6]}$	$+1$	$+1$	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1
$t^{\bullet}_{[0,6]}$	$+1$	$+1$	$+1$	$+1$	$+1$	$+1$	$+1$
$t^{\mathrm{i}}_{[0,6]}$	$+1$	$+1$	$+1$	-1	-1	$+1$	$+1$
$t^{\mathrm{ii}}_{[0,6]}$	$+1$	$+1$	-1	-1	$+1$	$+1$	$+1$
$t^{\mathrm{iii}}_{[0,6]}$	$+1$	$+1$	$+1$	-1	$+1$	$+1$	$+1$
$t^{\mathrm{iv}}_{[0,6]}$	$+1$	$+1$	-1	-1	-1	$+1$	$+1$

Note that $s^{\circ}_{[0,6]} \equiv r^{-}_{[0,6]}$ and $t^{\bullet}_{[0,6]} \equiv r^{+}_{[0,6]}$ and that

$$s^{\circ}_{[0,6]} = -t^{\bullet}_{[0,6]},\ s^{k}_{[0,6]} = -t^{k}_{[0,6]}, \forall k \in \{\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{ii}, \mathrm{iii}, \mathrm{iv}\}$$
$$u^{k}_{[0,6]} = -v^{k}_{[0,6]}, \forall k \in \{\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{ii}, \mathrm{iii}, \mathrm{iv}\}. \tag{5.13}$$

According to the rule we obtain the following list of 18 fermion operators associated

to $\hat{\Xi}_{0,3}$:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathscr{Q}(s^{\circ}_{[0,6]}) &= a_0a_1a_2a_3a_4a_5a_6 \in \mathcal{A}(\mathrm{I}_{0,6})_-,\\
\mathscr{Q}(s^{\mathrm{i}}_{[0,6]}) &= a_0a_1a_2a^*_3a^*_4a_5a_6 \in \mathcal{A}(\mathrm{I}_{0,6})_-,\\
\mathscr{Q}(s^{\mathrm{ii}}_{[0,6]}) &= a_0a_1a^*_2a^*_3a_4a_5a_6 \in \mathcal{A}(\mathrm{I}_{0,6})_-,\\
\mathscr{Q}(s^{\mathrm{iii}}_{[0,6]}) &= a_0a_1a_2a^*_3a_4a_5a_6 \in \mathcal{A}(\mathrm{I}_{0,6})_-,\\
\mathscr{Q}(s^{\mathrm{iv}}_{[0,6]}) &= a_0a_1a^*_2a^*_3a^*_4a_5a_6 \in \mathcal{A}(\mathrm{I}_{0,6})_-,\\
\mathscr{Q}(u^{\mathrm{i}}_{[0,6]}) &= a_0a_1a_2a_3a_4a^*_5a^*_6 \in \mathcal{A}(\mathrm{I}_{0,6})_-,\\
\mathscr{Q}(u^{\mathrm{ii}}_{[0,6]}) &= a_0a_1a_2a_3a^*_4a^*_5a^*_6 \in \mathcal{A}(\mathrm{I}_{0,6})_-,\\
\mathscr{Q}(u^{\mathrm{iii}}_{[0,6]}) &= a_0a_1a_2a^*_3a^*_4a^*_5a^*_6 \in \mathcal{A}(\mathrm{I}_{0,6})_-,\\
\mathscr{Q}(u^{\mathrm{iv}}_{[0,6]}) &= a_0a_1a^*_2a^*_3a^*_4a^*_5a^*_6 \in \mathcal{A}(\mathrm{I}_{0,6})_-,\\
\mathscr{Q}(v^{\mathrm{i}}_{[0,6]}) &= a^*_0a^*_1a^*_2a^*_3a^*_4a_5a_6 \in \mathcal{A}(\mathrm{I}_{0,6})_-,\\
\mathscr{Q}(v^{\mathrm{ii}}_{[0,6]}) &= a^*_0a^*_1a^*_2a^*_3a_4a_5a_6 \in \mathcal{A}(\mathrm{I}_{0,6})_-,\\
\mathscr{Q}(v^{\mathrm{iii}}_{[0,6]}) &= a^*_0a^*_1a^*_2a_3a_4a_5a_6 \in \mathcal{A}(\mathrm{I}_{0,6})_-,\\
\mathscr{Q}(v^{\mathrm{iv}}_{[0,6]}) &= a^*_0a^*_1a_2a_3a_4a_5a_6 \in \mathcal{A}(\mathrm{I}_{0,6})_-,\\
\mathscr{Q}(t^{\bullet}_{[0,6]}) &= a^*_0a^*_1a^*_2a^*_3a^*_4a^*_5a^*_6 \in \mathcal{A}(\mathrm{I}_{0,6})_-,\\
\mathscr{Q}(t^{\mathrm{i}}_{[0,6]}) &= a^*_0a^*_1a^*_2a_3a_4a^*_5a^*_6 \in \mathcal{A}(\mathrm{I}_{0,6})_-,\\
\mathscr{Q}(t^{\mathrm{ii}}_{[0,6]}) &= a^*_0a^*_1a_2a_3a^*_4a^*_5a^*_6 \in \mathcal{A}(\mathrm{I}_{0,6})_-,\\
\mathscr{Q}(t^{\mathrm{iii}}_{[0,6]}) &= a^*_0a^*_1a^*_2a_3a^*_4a^*_5a^*_6 \in \mathcal{A}(\mathrm{I}_{0,6})_-,\\
\mathscr{Q}(t^{\mathrm{iv}}_{[0,6]}) &= a^*_0a^*_1a_2a_3a_4a^*_5a^*_6 \in \mathcal{A}(\mathrm{I}_{0,6})_-.
\end{aligned}
\tag{5.14}
$$

Finally we consider the segment $\mathrm{I}_{0,8} \equiv [0,1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8]$ by setting $k=0$ and $l=4$. It consists of the following "$13*2+14*2=26+28=54$" digital sequences.

$\hat{\Xi}_{0,4}$	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
$s^{\circ}_{[0,8]}$	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1
$s^{\mathrm{i}}_{[0,8]}$	-1	-1	-1	$+1$	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1
$s^{\mathrm{ii}}_{[0,8]}$	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	$+1$	-1	-1	-1
$s^{\mathrm{iii}}_{[0,8]}$	-1	-1	-1	$+1$	$+1$	-1	-1	-1	-1
$s^{\mathrm{iv}}_{[0,8]}$	-1	-1	-1	-1	$+1$	$+1$	-1	-1	-1
$s^{\mathrm{v}}_{[0,8]}$	-1	-1	$+1$	$+1$	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1
$s^{\mathrm{vi}}_{[0,8]}$	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	$+1$	$+1$	-1	-1
$s^{\mathrm{vii}}_{[0,8]}$	-1	-1	$+1$	$+1$	$+1$	-1	-1	-1	-1
$s^{\mathrm{viii}}_{[0,8]}$	-1	-1	-1	$+1$	$+1$	$+1$	-1	-1	-1
$s^{\mathrm{ix}}_{[0,8]}$	-1	-1	-1	-1	$+1$	$+1$	$+1$	-1	-1
$s^{\mathrm{x}}_{[0,8]}$	-1	-1	$+1$	$+1$	$+1$	$+1$	-1	-1	-1
$s^{\mathrm{xi}}_{[0,8]}$	-1	-1	-1	$+1$	$+1$	$+1$	$+1$	-1	-1
$s^{\mathrm{xii}}_{[0,8]}$	-1	-1	$+1$	$+1$	$+1$	$+1$	$+1$	-1	-1

$\hat{\Xi}_{0,4}$	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
$s^{\bullet}_{[0,8]}$	$+1$	$+1$	$+1$	$+1$	$+1$	$+1$	$+1$	$+1$	$+1$
$\tilde{s}^{\mathrm{i}}_{[0,8]}$	$+1$	$+1$	$+1$	-1	$+1$	$+1$	$+1$	$+1$	$+1$
$\tilde{s}^{\mathrm{ii}}_{[0,8]}$	$+1$	$+1$	$+1$	$+1$	$+1$	-1	$+1$	$+1$	$+1$
$\tilde{s}^{\mathrm{iii}}_{[0,8]}$	$+1$	$+1$	$+1$	-1	-1	$+1$	$+1$	$+1$	$+1$
$\tilde{s}^{\mathrm{iv}}_{[0,8]}$	$+1$	$+1$	$+1$	$+1$	-1	-1	$+1$	$+1$	$+1$
$\tilde{s}^{\mathrm{v}}_{[0,8]}$	$+1$	$+1$	-1	-1	$+1$	$+1$	$+1$	$+1$	$+1$
$\tilde{s}^{\mathrm{vi}}_{[0,8]}$	$+1$	$+1$	$+1$	$+1$	$+1$	-1	-1	$+1$	$+1$
$\tilde{s}^{\mathrm{vii}}_{[0,8]}$	$+1$	$+1$	-1	-1	-1	$+1$	$+1$	$+1$	$+1$
$\tilde{s}^{\mathrm{viii}}_{[0,8]}$	$+1$	$+1$	$+1$	-1	-1	-1	$+1$	$+1$	$+1$
$\tilde{s}^{\mathrm{ix}}_{[0,8]}$	$+1$	$+1$	$+1$	$+1$	-1	-1	-1	$+1$	$+1$
$\tilde{s}^{\mathrm{x}}_{[0,8]}$	$+1$	$+1$	-1	-1	-1	-1	$+1$	$+1$	$+1$
$\tilde{s}^{\mathrm{xi}}_{[0,8]}$	$+1$	$+1$	$+1$	-1	-1	-1	-1	$+1$	$+1$
$\tilde{s}^{\mathrm{xii}}_{[0,8]}$	$+1$	$+1$	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	$+1$	$+1$

$\hat{\Xi}_{0,4}$	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
$u^{\mathrm{i}}_{[0,8]}$	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	$+1$	$+1$
$u^{\mathrm{ii}}_{[0,8]}$	-1	-1	-1	$+1$	-1	-1	-1	$+1$	$+1$
$u^{\mathrm{iii}}_{[0,8]}$	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	$+1$	$+1$	$+1$
$u^{\mathrm{iv}}_{[0,8]}$	-1	-1	$+1$	$+1$	-1	-1	-1	$+1$	$+1$
$u^{\mathrm{v}}_{[0,8]}$	-1	-1	-1	$+1$	$+1$	-1	-1	$+1$	$+1$
$u^{\mathrm{vi}}_{[0,8]}$	-1	-1	-1	$+1$	-1	-1	$+1$	$+1$	$+1$
$u^{\mathrm{vii}}_{[0,8]}$	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	$+1$	$+1$	$+1$	$+1$
$u^{\mathrm{viii}}_{[0,8]}$	-1	-1	$+1$	$+1$	$+1$	-1	-1	$+1$	$+1$
$u^{\mathrm{ix}}_{[0,8]}$	-1	-1	$+1$	$+1$	-1	-1	$+1$	$+1$	$+1$
$u^{\mathrm{x}}_{[0,8]}$	-1	-1	-1	$+1$	$+1$	-1	$+1$	$+1$	$+1$
$u^{\mathrm{xi}}_{[0,8]}$	-1	-1	-1	-1	$+1$	$+1$	$+1$	$+1$	$+1$
$u^{\mathrm{xii}}_{[0,8]}$	-1	-1	$+1$	$+1$	$+1$	-1	$+1$	$+1$	$+1$
$u^{\mathrm{xiii}}_{[0,8]}$	-1	-1	-1	$+1$	$+1$	$+1$	$+1$	$+1$	$+1$
$u^{\mathrm{xiv}}_{[0,8]}$	-1	-1	$+1$	$+1$	$+1$	$+1$	$+1$	$+1$	$+1$

$\hat{\Xi}_{0,4}$	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
$\tilde{u}^{\mathrm{i}}_{[0,8]}$	$+1$	$+1$	$+1$	$+1$	$+1$	$+1$	$+1$	-1	-1
$\tilde{u}^{\mathrm{ii}}_{[0,8]}$	$+1$	$+1$	$+1$	-1	$+1$	$+1$	$+1$	-1	-1
$\tilde{u}^{\mathrm{iii}}_{[0,8]}$	$+1$	$+1$	$+1$	$+1$	$+1$	$+1$	-1	-1	-1
$\tilde{u}^{\mathrm{iv}}_{[0,8]}$	$+1$	$+1$	-1	-1	$+1$	$+1$	$+1$	-1	-1
$\tilde{u}^{\mathrm{v}}_{[0,8]}$	$+1$	$+1$	$+1$	-1	-1	$+1$	$+1$	-1	-1
$\tilde{u}^{\mathrm{vi}}_{[0,8]}$	$+1$	$+1$	$+1$	-1	$+1$	$+1$	-1	-1	-1
$\tilde{u}^{\mathrm{vii}}_{[0,8]}$	$+1$	$+1$	$+1$	$+1$	$+1$	-1	-1	-1	-1
$\tilde{u}^{\mathrm{viii}}_{[0,8]}$	$+1$	$+1$	-1	-1	-1	$+1$	$+1$	-1	-1
$\tilde{u}^{\mathrm{ix}}_{[0,8]}$	$+1$	$+1$	-1	-1	$+1$	$+1$	-1	-1	-1
$\tilde{u}^{\mathrm{x}}_{[0,8]}$	$+1$	$+1$	$+1$	-1	-1	$+1$	-1	-1	-1
$\tilde{u}^{\mathrm{xi}}_{[0,8]}$	$+1$	$+1$	$+1$	$+1$	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1
$\tilde{u}^{\mathrm{xii}}_{[0,8]}$	$+1$	$+1$	-1	-1	-1	$+1$	-1	-1	-1
$\tilde{u}^{\mathrm{xiii}}_{[0,8]}$	$+1$	$+1$	$+1$	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1
$\tilde{u}^{\mathrm{xiv}}_{[0,8]}$	$+1$	$+1$	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1

We will omit the list of the fermion operators associated to $\hat{\Xi}_{0,4}$ given above.

5.4 Local constants of motion given by kinematical supercharges

We note some basic properties of the local fermion operators given in Definition 5.6.

Lemma 5.7. *For every $f \in \hat{\Xi}_{k,l}$ with $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$ $(k < l)$, $-f \in \hat{\Xi}_{k,l}$. For each $f \in \hat{\Xi}_{k,l}$*

$$\mathscr{Q}(f)^* = (-1)^{\sigma(k,l)} \mathscr{Q}(-f), \tag{5.15}$$

where

$$\sigma(k,l) \equiv \{2(l-k)+1\}\,(l-k). \tag{5.16}$$

In particular,

$$\mathscr{Q}(r^-_{[2k,2l]})^* = (-1)^{\sigma(k,l)} \mathscr{Q}(r^+_{[2k,2l]}). \tag{5.17}$$

Proof. Obvious. □

Proposition 5.8. *For every $f \in \hat{\Xi}$, the local fermion operator $\mathscr{Q}(f) \in \mathcal{A}_{\circ -}$ and its adjoint $\mathscr{Q}(f)^* \in \mathcal{A}_{\circ -}$ are nilpotent:*

$$\mathscr{Q}(f)^2 = 0 = \mathscr{Q}(f)^{*\,2}. \tag{5.18}$$

Proof. This can be easily verified by noting the form of $f \in \hat{\Xi}$ defined in Definition 5.2 and the formula of $\mathscr{Q}$ (5.8) of Definition 5.6 together with the nilpotent relations $a_i a_i = a_i^* a_i^* = 0$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. □

From Proposition 5.8 for each $f \in \hat{\Xi}$ the pair of conjugate local fermion operators $\{\mathscr{Q}(f), \mathscr{Q}(f)^*\}$ generates a supersymmetry. Below we will show that these are constants of motion for the Nicolai model and justify why $f \in \hat{\Xi}$ is called a local digital sequence of "conservation" in Definition 5.2. It is convenient to define the infinite-dimensional algebra generated by all those local supersymmetry generators.

Definition 5.9. Let $\mathcal{C}(\{\alpha_t^{\Psi_{\rm Nic}}\})$ denote the *-subalgebra in $\mathcal{A}_\circ$ finitely generated by $\{\mathscr{Q}(f) \in \mathcal{A}_\circ |\ f \in \hat{\Xi}\}$. For every $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $k < l$ the *-subalgebra generated by $\{\mathscr{Q}(f) \in \mathcal{A}_\circ |\ f \in \hat{\Xi}_{k',\,l'}, k \leq k' < l' \leq l\}$ is denoted by $\mathcal{C}(\{\alpha_t^{\Psi_{\rm Nic}}\})(k,l)$. By definition $\mathcal{C}(\{\alpha_t^{\Psi_{\rm Nic}}\})(k,l) \subset \mathcal{A}(\mathrm{I}_{2k,2l})$, and $\mathcal{C}(\{\alpha_t^{\Psi_{\rm Nic}}\})(k,l) \supset \mathcal{C}(\{\alpha_t^{\Psi_{\rm Nic}}\})(p,q)$ for any $k, p, q, l \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $k \leq p < q \leq l$.

By using the main theorem of [48] we can immediately verify that the local fermion operators $\{\mathscr{Q}(f), \mathscr{Q}(f)^*\}$ for any $f \in \hat{\Xi}$ are elementwise invariant under the time evolution $\alpha_t^{\Psi_{\rm Nic}}$ $(t \in \mathbb{R})$ of the Nicolai model.

Theorem 5.10. *Let $\delta_{\Psi_{\rm Nic}}$ denote the superderivation on $\mathcal{A}_\circ$ associated to the Nicolai model $\Psi_{\rm Nic}$ given in Section 4.3. Let $\alpha_t^{\Psi_{\rm Nic}}$ $(t \in \mathbb{R})$ denote the time evolution for the Nicolai model, i.e. the strongly continuous one parameter group of $*$-automorphisms which is generated by the derivation $d_{\Psi_{\rm Nic}} \equiv \delta^*_{\Psi_{\rm Nic}} \cdot \delta_{\Psi_{\rm Nic}} + \delta_{\Psi_{\rm Nic}} \cdot \delta^*_{\Psi_{\rm Nic}}$ defined on $\mathcal{A}_\circ$. Then for every $B \in \mathcal{C}(\{\alpha_t^{\Psi_{\rm Nic}}\})$*

$$\alpha_t^{\Psi_{\rm Nic}}(B) = B \quad \textit{for all } t \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{5.19}$$

In particular, for every $f \in \hat{\Xi}$,

$$\alpha_t^{\Psi_{\rm Nic}}(\mathscr{Q}(f)) = \mathscr{Q}(f), \quad \alpha_t^{\Psi_{\rm Nic}}(\mathscr{Q}(f)^*) = \mathscr{Q}(f)^* \quad \textit{for all } t \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{5.20}$$

Proof. By Proposition 4.3 it suffices to show that

$$\delta_{\Psi_{\rm Nic}}(B) = 0, \quad \delta^*_{\Psi_{\rm Nic}}(B) = 0 \ \text{ for every } B \in \mathcal{C}(\{\alpha_t^{\Psi_{\rm Nic}}\}). \tag{5.21}$$

Furthermore, by Definition 5.9 and the graded Leibniz rule of superderivations (4.23) it is enough to show that

$$\delta_{\Psi_{\rm Nic}}(\mathscr{Q}(f)) = 0, \quad \delta^*_{\Psi_{\rm Nic}}(\mathscr{Q}(f)) = 0 \ \text{ for every } f \in \hat{\Xi}. \tag{5.22}$$

From the formula of $\Psi_{\rm Nic}$ as in (4.36) and Definitions 5.1 5.2 5.6, we see by using the canonical anticommutation relations that for all $\mathrm{X} \Subset \mathbb{Z}$

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathscr{Q}(f)\Psi_{\rm Nic}(\mathrm{X}) = 0 = \Psi_{\rm Nic}(\mathrm{X})\mathscr{Q}(f), \\ &\mathscr{Q}(f)\Psi^*_{\rm Nic}(\mathrm{X}) = 0 = \Psi^*_{\rm Nic}(\mathrm{X})\mathscr{Q}(f). \end{aligned} \tag{5.23}$$

These yield (5.22). □

Theorem 5.10 tells that the Nicolai supersymmetric fermion lattice model has infinitely many local kinematical supercharges. We now introduce some terminologies relevant to this theorem.

Definition 5.11. For each local digital sequence of conservation $f \in \hat{\Xi}$, $\mathscr{Q}(f)$ is called the local fermion constant of motion associated to f, and the pair $\{\mathscr{Q}(f), \mathscr{Q}(f)^*\}$ is called the local kinematical supercharge associated to f. The *-algebra $\mathcal{C}(\{\alpha_t^{\Psi_{\rm Nic}}\})$ in Definition 5.9 is called the algebra of the constants of motion for the Nicolai model, and $\mathcal{C}(\{\alpha_t^{\Psi_{\rm Nic}}\})(k,l)$ with $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$ $(k < l)$ is called the algebra of the constants of motion within the segment $\mathrm{I}_{2k,2l}$.

Remark 5.12. The subalgebra $\mathcal{C}(\{\alpha_t^{\Psi_{\rm Nic}}\})$ and the subalgebras $\mathcal{C}(\{\alpha_t^{\Psi_{\rm Nic}}\})(k,l)$ with $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$ $(k < l)$ include many observables (self-adjoint operators). Some of them are $U(1)$-invariant.

Remark 5.13. From Theorem 5.10 and Remark 5.4 we may assert that the number of local constants for the Nicolai model is extensive with respect to volume of subsystems.

6 Classical supersymmetric states of the Nicolai model

In this section we provide all classical supersymmetric states for the Nicolai model.[5] Actually, there are many other supersymmetric states which are non-classical [40]. Here we focus on classical supersymmetric states since those are sufficient for the present purpose.

6.1 Classical configurations

As in Section 4.3, $|0\rangle_i$ and $|1\rangle_i$ denote the empty-state vector and the occupied-state vector of the spinless fermion at $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, respectively. With $\{|0\rangle_i,\ |1\rangle_i;\ i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ we can provide the concrete description of the Fock state representation as before.

Definition 6.1. Let $g(n)$ denote an arbitrary $\{0,1\}$-valued sequence over $\mathbb{Z}$. It is called a classical configuration over $\mathbb{Z}$. For any classical configuration $g(n)$ over $\mathbb{Z}$ take the following infinite product vector:

$$|g(n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}\rangle := \cdots \otimes |g(i-1)\rangle_{i-1} \otimes |g(i)\rangle_i \otimes |g(i+1)\rangle_{i+1} \otimes \cdots \tag{6.1}$$

This uniquely determines a state $\psi_{g(n)}$ on the total fermion system $\mathcal{A}$. $\psi_{g(n)}$ will be called the classical state associated to the configuration $g(n)$ over $\mathbb{Z}$. Vice versa, any classical state on the fermion system $\mathcal{A}$ is given by an infinite product vector of (6.1) for some classical configuration $g(n)$ over $\mathbb{Z}$.

To each classical configuration over $\mathbb{Z}$ we assign an operator by the following rule.

Definition 6.2. For each $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ let $\hat{\kappa}_i$ denote the map from $\{0,1\}$ into $\mathcal{A}(\{i\})$ given as

$$\hat{\kappa}_i(0) := \mathbf{1}, \quad \hat{\kappa}_i(1) := a_i^*. \tag{6.2}$$

For each classical configuration $g(n)$ over $\mathbb{Z}$ define the infinite-product of fermion field operators:

$$\hat{\mathcal{O}}(g) := \prod_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} \hat{\kappa}_i\left(g(i)\right) = \cdots \hat{\kappa}_{i-1}\left(g(i-1)\right) \hat{\kappa}_i\left(g(i)\right) \hat{\kappa}_{i+1}\left(g(i+1)\right) \cdots, \tag{6.3}$$

where the multiplication is taken in the increasing order. If $g(n)$ has a compact support,

$$\hat{\mathcal{O}}(g) \in \mathcal{A}_\circ. \tag{6.4}$$

Otherwise $\hat{\mathcal{O}}(g)$ denotes a formal operator which is out of $\mathcal{A}$.

One can naturally relate Definition 6.1 (product vectors) and Definition 6.2 (product operators) via the Fock representation.

[5] Some partial results stated in this section were reported in [49].

Proposition 6.3. *Let η_0 denote the Fock vector (no-particle wave function) given in* (4.46)*. For any classical configuration $g(n)$ over $\mathbb{Z}$, the following identity holds:*

$$\hat{\mathcal{O}}(g)\eta_0 = |g(n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}\rangle. \tag{6.5}$$

Proof. This directly follows from Definition 6.1 and Definition 6.2 by noting (4.45). □

Remark 6.4. Even if $g(n)$ does not have a compact support and hence $\hat{\mathcal{O}}(g)$ is not defined in $\mathcal{A}$, the identity (6.5) of Proposition 6.3 is valid. For illustration, take the constant $\iota(n) := 1\ \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}$ for the classical configuration over $\mathbb{Z}$. By definition the support of ι is non compact. Nevertheless, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\hat{\mathcal{O}}(\iota)\eta_0 \\
&= \left(\cdots a^*_{-2}a^*_{-1}a^*_0a^*_1a^*_2\cdots\right)\left(\cdots\otimes|0\rangle_{-2}\otimes|0\rangle_{-1}\otimes|0\rangle_0\otimes|0\rangle_1\otimes|0\rangle_2\cdots\right) \\
&= \cdots a^*_{-2}|0\rangle_{-2}\otimes a^*_{-1}|0\rangle_{-1}\otimes a^*_0|0\rangle_0\otimes a^*_1|0\rangle_1\otimes a^*_2|0\rangle_2\otimes\cdots \\
&= \cdots\otimes|1\rangle_{-2}\otimes|1\rangle_{-1}\otimes|1\rangle_0\otimes|1\rangle_1\otimes|1\rangle_2\otimes\cdots \equiv \eta_1,
\end{aligned}$$

where η_1 denotes the fully occupied wave function over $\mathbb{Z}$ given in (4.48).

Remark 6.5. In Proposition 6.3 the Fock state is used. However, it is not a canonical choice. Actually it is possible to choose any other classical state for the background. For example, we may take the fully occupied state in place of the Fock (no-particle) state. Then the formula (6.2) of Definition 6.2 is to be replaced by

$$\hat{\kappa}_i(0) := a_i, \quad \hat{\kappa}_i(1) := \mathbf{1} \quad \text{for each } i \in \mathbb{Z},$$

while the operator formula $\hat{\mathcal{O}}(g)$ in (6.3) is same as before using the above new $\hat{\kappa}_i$. The identity (6.5) of Proposition 6.3 is changed to

$$\hat{\mathcal{O}}(g)\eta_1 = |g(n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}\rangle.$$

6.2 Highly degenerate classical supersymmetric states

We introduce the following special class of classical configurations.

Definition 6.6. Consider three consequent sites $\{2i-1, 2i, 2i+1\}$ centered at an even site $2i$ ($i \in \mathbb{Z}$). Among 8 configurations ($\{0,1\}$-valued sequences) on $\{2i-1, 2i, 2i+1\}$, both of "0, 1, 0" and "1, 0, 1" are called forbidden triplets. If a classical configuration $g(n)$ ($n \in \mathbb{Z}$) does not include such forbidden triplets over $\mathbb{Z}$, then it is called a ground-state configuration for the Nicolai model over $\mathbb{Z}$. The set of all ground-state configurations for the Nicolai model over $\mathbb{Z}$ is denoted by Υ. The set of all ground-state configurations for the Nicolai model whose support is included in some finite region is denoted by $\Upsilon_\circ$. The set of all ground-state configurations for the Nicolai model whose support is included in a finite region $\mathrm{I} \Subset \mathbb{Z}$ is denoted by Υ_{I}.

The above definition may remind us of the notion of permitted sequences in Section 5. However, the following difference should be noted. We now discuss classical configurations over $\mathbb{Z}$ whose support is not necessarily finite, whereas Definition 5.2 in Section 5 is restricted to some special finite regions as in (5.3) to make *local* conservation laws.

We can classify all the classical supersymmetric states by using Definition 6.6.

Theorem 6.7. *Any classical state for the Nicolai model on the fermion lattice system $\mathcal{A}$ as in Definition 6.1 is supersymmetric if and only if its associated configuration $g(n)$ over $\mathbb{Z}$ is a ground-state configuration for the Nicolai model as in Definition 6.6, namely $g(n)$ belongs to Υ. Any such classical supersymmetric state on $\mathcal{A}$ is obviously invariant under the time evolution for the Nicolai model.*

Proof. First we shall see the action of the local fermion charges of the Nicolai model upon classical states (via the GNS representation for the Fock state). As we need to consider only non-trivial local fermion charges, let us take $\Psi_{\rm Nic}\big(\{2i-1,\, 2i,\, 2i+1\}\big)$ $(i \in \mathbb{Z})$ as defined in (4.36). If the classical configuration $g(n)$ over $\mathbb{Z}$ satisfies $g(2i-1)=1, g(2i)=0, g(2i+1)=1$, namely there includes the forbidden "$1,0,1$" on $\{2i-1, 2i, 2i+1\}$, then

$$\begin{aligned}&\Psi_{\rm Nic}\big(\{2i-1,\, 2i,\, 2i+1\}\big)|g(n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}\rangle\\ &= \cdots \otimes |g(2i-3)\rangle_{2i-3} \otimes |g(2i-2)\rangle_{2i-2} \otimes |0\rangle_{2i-1} \otimes |1\rangle_{2i} \otimes |0\rangle_{2i+1} \otimes |g(2i+2)\rangle_{2i+2} \otimes \cdots,\end{aligned} \tag{6.6}$$

where any entry on the complement of $\{2i-1, 2i, 2i+1\}$ is unchanged. For any other $g(n)$ the corresponding classical vector $|g(n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}\rangle$ is always deleted by $\Psi_{\rm Nic}\big(\{2i-1,\, 2i,\, 2i+1\}\big)$:

$$\Psi_{\rm Nic}\big(\{2i-1,\, 2i,\, 2i+1\}\big)|g(n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}\rangle = 0. \tag{6.7}$$

Similarly, consider the action of $\Psi_{\rm Nic}\big(\{2i-1,\, 2i,\, 2i+1\}\big)^*$. If the classical configuration $g(n)$ over $\mathbb{Z}$ satisfies $g(2i-1)=0, g(2i)=1, g(2i+1)=0$, namely there includes the forbidden "$0,1,0$" on $\{2i-1, 2i, 2i+1\}$, then

$$\begin{aligned}&\Psi_{\rm Nic}\big(\{2i-1,\, 2i,\, 2i+1\}\big)^*|g(n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}\rangle\\ &= \cdots \otimes |g(2i-3)\rangle_{2i-3} \otimes |g(2i-2)\rangle_{2i-2} \otimes |1\rangle_{2i-1} \otimes |0\rangle_{2i} \otimes |1\rangle_{2i+1} \otimes |g(2i+2)\rangle_{2i+2} \otimes \cdots,\end{aligned} \tag{6.8}$$

where any entry on the complement of $\{2i-1, 2i, 2i+1\}$ is unchanged. For any other $g(n)$ the corresponding vector $|g(n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}\rangle$ is always deleted:

$$\Psi_{\rm Nic}\big(\{2i-1,\, 2i,\, 2i+1\}\big)^*|g(n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}\rangle = 0. \tag{6.9}$$

The set of relations (6.6) (6.7) (6.8) (6.9) tells that all local operators $\Psi_{\rm Nic}$ and $\Psi_{\rm Nic}^*$ given in (4.36) delete any classical vector $|g(n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}\rangle$ if $g(n)$ is a ground-state configuration.

We will show the only if part. Assume that $|g(n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}\rangle$ be any classical vector that gives rise to a supersymmetric state $\psi_{g(n)}$. It is equivalent to assume that both $Q_{\rm Nic}|g(n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}\rangle = 0$ and $Q_{\rm Nic}^*|g(n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}\rangle = 0$ hold, where the supercharge operator $Q_{\rm Nic}$ and its conjugate operator $Q_{\rm Nic}^*$ are given in (4.37) (4.38). The existence of those linear operators can be shown for any (not necessarily classical) supersymmetric state by using the GNS representation, see [48] for the detail. From (6.6) (6.7) the vanishing formula $Q_{\rm Nic}|g(n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}\rangle = 0$ implies that $\Psi_{\rm Nic}(\mathrm{I})|g(n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}\rangle = 0$ for all $\mathrm{I} \Subset \mathbb{Z}$, since there is no cancellation among the actions of those local fermion charges $\Psi_{\rm Nic}(\mathrm{I})$ for different $\mathrm{I} \Subset \mathbb{Z}$ upon $|g(n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}\rangle$. Similarly, from (6.8) (6.9) the vanishing formula $Q_{\rm Nic}^*|g(n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}\rangle = 0$ implies that $\Psi_{\rm Nic}^*(\mathrm{I})|g(n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}\rangle = 0$ for all $\mathrm{I} \Subset \mathbb{Z}$. Thus

$|g(n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}\rangle$ should be annihilated by both $\Psi_{\rm Nic}(\mathrm{I})$ and $\Psi^*_{\rm Nic}(\mathrm{I})$ for any $\mathrm{I} \Subset \mathbb{Z}$. This implies that $g(n)$ should be a ground-state configuration with no forbidden triplet included.

The time invariance of any (classical and non-classical) supersymmetric state is obvious by Theorem 4.2. □

When the many body localization takes place for some model, we expect that certain (local) information persists under its non-ergodic time evolution. This is actually the case for the Nicolai model as shown in Theorem 6.7. Since every supersymmetric classical state represented by $\psi_{g(n)}$ with $g(n) \in \Upsilon$ is time invariant, its associated classical configuration $g(n)$ is kept eternally under the time evolution. If $g(n) \in \Upsilon_\circ$, then the content of the local information is preserved.

Remark 6.8. Let us compare the Nicolai model which is exactly periodic with the interacting many fermion model in a quasirandom optical lattice that exhibits MBL (1D fermionic Aubry-André model) considered in [71]. Take the following alternating configurations on $\mathbb{Z}$.

$$g_{\rm even}(n) := \begin{cases} 1 & n \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ is even} \\ 0 & n \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ is odd} \end{cases}, \quad g_{\rm odd}(n) := \begin{cases} 0 & n \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ is even} \\ 1 & n \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Both of them are ground-state configurations for the Nicolai model in the sense of Definition 6.6, namely $g_{\rm even}(n)$ and $g_{\rm odd}(n)$ belong to Υ, (however not to $\Upsilon_\circ$). Suppose that we prepare such periodic classical states as initial states. Then we evolve those states under the Heisenberg time evolution. For the Nicolai model both $g_{\rm even}(n)$ and $g_{\rm odd}(n)$ persist eternally, whereas for the many fermion model in a quasirandom optical lattice of [71] a similar alternating classical state persists for long time (but not eternally) when the disorder term is strong.

The next proposition gives another characterization of the classical supersymmetric states of the Nicolai model.

Proposition 6.9. *The classical supersymmetric states for the Nicolai model over $\mathbb{Z}$ are identical to the ground states for the following classical spin lattice model over $\mathbb{Z}$:*

$$H_{\rm classical} := \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} n_{2k} - n_{2k-1}n_{2k} - n_{2k}n_{2k+1} + n_{2k-1}n_{2k+1}, \ n_k := a^*_k a_k. \tag{6.10}$$

Proof. Take any three point subset $\{2k-1, 2k, 2k+1\}$ centered at an even site $2k$ ($k \in \mathbb{Z}$). Among all eight configurations on $\{2k-1, 2k, 2k+1\}$ it is easy to see that the above specified form $n_{2k} - n_{2k-1}n_{2k} - n_{2k}n_{2k+1} + n_{2k-1}n_{2k+1}$ takes eigenvalue $+1$ only for the two forbidden configurations "$0, 1, 0$" and "$1, 0, 1$", and takes 0 for the other six configurations. Therefore the statement follows. □

Remark 6.10. As the above classical interacting Hamiltonian $H_{\rm classical}$ in Proposition 6.9 has same classical ground states as the original Hamiltonian $H_{\rm Nic}$ whose form is given in (1.6), $H_{\rm classical}$ may be called a classical skeleton Hamiltonian of the Nicolai-model. Note that the original model $H_{\rm Nic}$ has many non-classical ground states [40]. Hence $H_{\rm classical}$ does not describe the whole information of the Nicolai model even at zero temperature. Of course, $H_{\rm classical}$ is different from so called the 'l-bit Hamiltonian' for the case of full-MBL described in [33] [51], see Section [**?**].

7 Asymptotic behavior of the time evolution of the Nicolai model

Based on Section 5 we will establish the status of the time evolution of the Nicolai model in terms of the ergodicity (as defined in Section 2) and the asymptotic abelian condition (as defined in Section 3).

7.1 Breaking ergodicity

We will show that the Nicolai model breaks ergodicity for all time invariant faithful states. In particular, the Nicolai model breaks ergodicity for equilibrium states at any positive temperature.

Theorem 7.1. *Let $\alpha_t^{\Psi_{\rm Nic}}$ $(t \in \mathbb{R})$ denote the time evolution for the Nicolai supersymmetric fermion lattice model, i.e. the strongly continuous one parameter group of $*$-automorphisms on $\mathcal{A}$ which is generated by the derivation $d_{\Psi_{\rm Nic}} \equiv \delta^*_{\Psi_{\rm Nic}} \cdot \delta_{\Psi_{\rm Nic}} + \delta_{\Psi_{\rm Nic}} \cdot \delta^*_{\Psi_{\rm Nic}}$ on $\mathcal{A}_\circ$ as given in Section 4.3. Suppose that ω is an arbitrary $\alpha_t^{\Psi_{\rm Nic}}$-invariant faithful factor state on $\mathcal{A}$. Let $\mathfrak{M}_\omega$ denote the factorial von Neumann algebra generated by the GNS representation of the factor state ω. Then the quantum dynamical system $(\mathcal{A}, \alpha_t^{\Psi_{\rm Nic}}, \omega)$ is non-ergodic. Precisely,*

$$\text{For } \forall \rho \in \mathfrak{M}_{\omega *,1},\ \lim_{T\to\infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \rho\left(\alpha_t^{\Psi_{\rm Nic}}(A)\right) dt = \omega(A) \ \text{ holds for every } A \in \mathcal{A} \quad (7.1)$$

is not satisfied. In particular, for every factor KMS state φ_β at any positive inverse temperature β, the quantum dynamical system $(\mathcal{A}, \alpha_t^{\Psi_{\rm Nic}}, \varphi_\beta)$ is non-ergodic.

Proof. Take any $B \in \mathcal{C}(\{\alpha_t^{\Psi_{\rm Nic}}\})$ which is not a scalar: There are many such B by Definition 5.9. Let $c := \omega(B) \in \mathbb{C}$. As the CAR algebra $\mathcal{A}$ has no non-trivial ideal, any non-zero representation of $\mathcal{A}$ is injective, and so is the GNS representation π_ω of $\mathcal{A}$. Hence the assumption $c\mathbf{1} \neq B$ implies $cI_\omega \neq \pi_\omega(B)$, where $I_\omega = \pi_\omega(\mathbf{1})$ denotes the identity operator on the GNS Hilbert space $\mathscr{H}_\omega$ for the state ω. Since the set of normal functionals on $\mathfrak{M}_\omega$ is a predual of $\mathfrak{M}_\omega$, and any normal functional is written as a finite linear sum of normal positive functionals by [11], there always exists a normal state that separates any two distinct points in $\mathfrak{M}_\omega$. Therefore there is $\rho \in \mathfrak{M}_{\omega *,1}$ such that

$$b \equiv \rho\left(\pi_\omega(B)\right) \neq \rho(cI_\omega) = c. \quad (7.2)$$

As $B \in \mathcal{C}(\{\alpha_t^{\Psi_{\rm Nic}}\})$, by Theorem 5.10

$$\alpha_t^{\Psi_{\rm Nic}}(B) = B \ \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{R},$$

and hence

$$\rho\left(\pi_\omega(\alpha_t^{\Psi_{\rm Nic}}(B))\right) = \rho\left(\pi_\omega(B)\right)) = b \in \mathbb{C} \ \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (7.3)$$

Hence by (7.2) and (7.3) we have

$$\lim_{T\to\infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \rho\left(\alpha_t^{\Psi_{\rm Nic}}(B)\right) dt = \lim_{T\to\infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T b dt = b \neq c = \omega(B). \quad (7.4)$$

This violates the required formula (7.1) for the chosen $B \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\rho \in \mathfrak{M}_{\omega *,1}$. Hence we conclude that $(\mathcal{A}, \alpha_t^{\Psi_{\rm Nic}}, \omega)$ is non-ergodic. □

7.2 Breaking the asymptotic abelian condition

It is known that the mixing property and hence the ergodicity (for any time invariant faithful state) are implied by the asymptotic abelian condition, see [10]. Therefore from Theorem 7.1 it follows that the time evolution of the Nicolai model does not satisfy the asymptotic abelian condition. We shall restate this in the following theorem.

Theorem 7.2. *Let $\alpha_t^{\Psi_{\rm Nic}}$ $(t \in \mathbb{R})$ denote the time evolution of the Nicolai model, i.e. the strongly continuous one parameter group of $*$-automorphisms on the fermion algebra $\mathcal{A}$ associated to the Nicolai model. Then the time evolution $\alpha_t^{\Psi_{\rm Nic}}$ $(t \in \mathbb{R})$ breaks the norm asymptotic abelian condition.*

Below we will give more detailed information on the breakdown of the asymptotic abelian condition for the Nicolai model. Specifically we will give concrete non-diffusive operators (in the sense of Definition 3.6) for the time evolution of the Nicolai model. These actually prevent the dynamics from diffusing and cause breakdown of the asymptotic abelian condition as we have seen in Proposition 3.1.

Proposition 7.3. *Let $\mathcal{C}(\{\alpha_t^{\Psi_{\rm Nic}}\})$ denote the *-subalgebra of the fermion lattice system as in Definition 5.9. Every $B \in \mathcal{C}(\{\alpha_t^{\Psi_{\rm Nic}}\})$ is non-diffusive for the time evolution $\alpha_t^{\Psi_{\rm Nic}}$ $(t \in \mathbb{R})$ of the Nicolai model.*

Proof. From Proposition 3.8 and Theorem 5.10 the statement follows. □

There are more.

Proposition 7.4. *Let $\Upsilon_\circ$ denote set of all ground-state configurations for the Nicolai model whose support is included in some finite region of $\mathbb{Z}$ as defined in Definition 6.6. For each $g \in \Upsilon_\circ$ the associated local operator $\hat{\mathcal{O}}(g) \in \mathcal{A}_\circ$ as in Definition 6.2 is non-diffusive for the time evolution $\alpha_t^{\Psi_{\rm Nic}}$ $(t \in \mathbb{R})$ of the Nicolai model.*

Proof. Let ψ_0 denote the Fock state over the fermion lattice system $\mathcal{A}$ determined by (4.47). Its associated GNS Hilbert space is given by the Fock Hilbert space, and the cyclic GNS vector is given by η_0 in (4.46). Since ψ_0 is a supersymmetric state for the Nicolai model, it is invariant under $\alpha_t^{\Psi_{\rm Nic}}$ $(t \in \mathbb{R})$ and η_0 is a zero-energy eigenvector for the GNS Hamiltonian H_{ψ_0} that implements $\alpha_t^{\Psi_{\rm Nic}}$ $(t \in \mathbb{R})$ on the Fock space, see [48] for a more detailed argument.

The normalized vector $\hat{\mathcal{O}}(g)\eta_0$ in (6.5) of Proposition 6.3 is nothing but the perturbed vector of η_0 by the local operator $\hat{\mathcal{O}}(g) \in \mathcal{A}_\circ$ which is also written as $\Omega_{\psi_0}^{\hat{\mathcal{O}}(g)}$ in Definition 3.9. As shown in Theorem 6.7 $\hat{\mathcal{O}}(g)\eta_0 (\equiv \Omega_{\psi_0}^{\hat{\mathcal{O}}(g)})$ is a zero-energy eigenvector for the supersymmetric Hamiltonian H_{ψ_0}. Hence from Proposition 3.10 the statement follows. □

Remark 7.5. There are many non-diffusive elements $\hat{\mathcal{O}}(g) \in \mathcal{A}_\circ$ with $g \in \Upsilon_\circ$ that do not belong to $\mathcal{C}(\{\alpha_t^{\Psi_{\rm Nic}}\})$. For example, let us consider characteristic functions on sites $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ given by $\delta_i(n) := \delta_{i,n}$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then for every $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\delta_{2j+1} \in \Upsilon_\circ$, while $\delta_{2j+1} \notin \Upsilon_\circ$. By definition $\hat{\mathcal{O}}(\delta_{2j+1}) = a_{2j+1}^* \in \mathcal{A}_{\circ -}$. Each a_{2j+1}^* is a non-diffusive operator, whereas it does not belong to $\mathcal{C}(\{\alpha_t^{\Psi_{\rm Nic}}\})$. For any pair of different sites $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $\delta_{\{i,j\}}(n) := \delta_{i,n} + \delta_{j,n}$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then for every $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\delta_{\{i,i+1\}} \in \Upsilon_\circ$. By definition $\hat{\mathcal{O}}(\delta_{\{i,i+1\}}) = a_i^* a_{i+1}^* \in \mathcal{A}_{\circ +}$. Each $a_i^* a_{i+1}^*$ is a non-diffusive operator, whereas it does not belong to $\mathcal{C}(\{\alpha_t^{\Psi_{\rm Nic}}\})$.

8 Generalization to multi-dimensional lattice

The foregoing discussion of the supersymmetric fermion lattice model by Nicolai does not actually rely on the dimensionality of the lattice. We will indicate shortly how our results in Section 7 shown for the one-dimensional lattice $\mathbb{Z}$ persist for any dimensional integer lattice with no essential change. We use the formulation in Section 4. For simplicity we present the case of $\mathbb{Z}^2$ as it represents all $\mathbb{Z}^n$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$).

Following (4.36) for $\mathbb{Z}$ we now give a similar assignment of local fermion charges over $\mathbb{Z}^2$. Let

$$\mathrm{J}_{(2i,2j)} := \big\{(2i-1,2j),\,(2i,2j-1),\,(2i,2j),\,(2i+1,2j),\,(2i,2j+1)\big\} \quad \text{for each } i,j \in \mathbb{Z} \tag{8.1}$$

namely $\mathrm{J}_{(2i,2j)} \Subset \mathbb{Z}^2$ is made of the center $(2i,2j) \in (2\mathbb{Z})^2$ and the four nearest sites that surround the center. We now define

$$\begin{aligned} &\Psi_{\mathrm{Nic}}\big(\mathrm{J}_{(2i,2j)}\big) := a_{(2i-1,2j)}a_{(2i,2j-1)}a^*_{(2i,2j)}a_{(2i+1,2j)}a_{(2i,2j+1)} \in \mathcal{A}\big(\mathrm{J}_{(2i,2j)}\big)_- \\ &\Psi_{\mathrm{Nic}}(\mathrm{J}) := 0 \ \text{ on any other } \mathrm{J} \Subset \mathbb{Z}^2. \end{aligned} \tag{8.2}$$

By this we can define a *nilpotent* superderivation $\delta_{\Psi_{\mathrm{Nic}}}$ and then a strongly continuous one parameter group of $*$-automorphisms $\alpha_t^{\Psi_{\mathrm{Nic}}}$ ($t \in \mathbb{R}$) on the fermion lattice system $\mathcal{A}$ as in Proposition 4.4.

In the same way as Theorem 7.1 and Theorem 7.2 we can easily see that for the multi-dimensional lattice $\mathbb{Z}^2$ (and $\mathbb{Z}^n$) the time evolution of the Nicolai model $\alpha_t^{\Psi_{\mathrm{Nic}}}$ ($t \in \mathbb{R}$) breaks both the ergodicity and the norm asymptotic abelian condition. Let us give a quick argument to see this.

As in Definition 5.11 we can get the algebra of the constants of motion $\mathcal{C}(\{\alpha_t^{\Psi_{\mathrm{Nic}}}\})$ for the multi-dimensional case. Now we give special constants of motion similar to (5.9) rather than list all of those. Consider the following boxes with index $l \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$\mathrm{I}^2_{[0,2l]} \equiv \{(x,y) \in \mathbb{Z}^2;\ 0 \le x \le 2l,\ 0 \le y \le 2l\} \tag{8.3}$$

On each $\mathrm{I}^2_{[0,2l]}$ we define the following two local fermion operators:

$$\prod_{i \in \mathrm{I}^2_{[0,2l]}} a_i^* \in \mathcal{A}(\mathrm{I}^2_{[0,2l]})_-, \qquad \prod_{i \in \mathrm{I}^2_{[0,2l]}} a_i \in \mathcal{A}(\mathrm{I}^2_{[0,2l]})_-, \tag{8.4}$$

where we specify the order of products, which is, however, not essential. Those operators are annihilated by the action of each of $\delta_{\Psi_{\mathrm{Nic}}}$ and $\delta^*_{\Psi_{\mathrm{Nic}}}$, hence invariant under the time evolution $\alpha_t^{\Psi_{\mathrm{Nic}}}$ ($t \in \mathbb{R}$). By applying these concrete elements in $\mathcal{C}(\{\alpha_t^{\Psi_{\mathrm{Nic}}}\})$ to the argument leading to Theorem 7.1 and Theorem 7.2, we can verify breakdown of the ergodicity and breakdown of the norm asymptotic abelian condition for $\alpha_t^{\Psi_{\mathrm{Nic}}}$ ($t \in \mathbb{R}$).

9 Discussion and outlook

In this final section we review our results. In addition, we shall propose several related questions.

We have focused on the dynamics of the supersymmetric fermion lattice model by Nicolai [58] throughout this paper. Nevertheless, our several statements given in a model-independent format can be applied to other supersymmetric fermion lattice models of [48].

We have shown that the Nicolai model has infinitely many local fermionic constants. More precisely, those are local kinematical supercharges hidden in the Nicolai model in addition to its dynamical supersymmetry. From the existence of constants of motion we can derive breaking ergodicity for the time evolution of the Nicolai model. We have defined the infinite dimension algebra $\mathcal{C}(\{\alpha_t^{\Psi_{\mathrm{Nic}}}\})$ that is finitely generated by those local fermionic constants of motion. It is extensive with respect to finite volumes and invariant under $2\mathbb{Z}$-translations.

The breakdown of ergodicity for the Nicolai model can be explained by using the well-known senario: "If a quantum model is integrable, then it *is supposed to* have many conserved quantities. Accordingly it should break the ergodicity." However, as we have claimed in the introduction, there are not sufficiently many non trivial examples for many-body quantum models which are known to be erogodic or non-ergodic. Furthermore let us note that there are diverse meanings of quantum integrability as noted in [14, 81]. We have given a supersymmetric generalization of the integrability for classical systems given in terms of the Poisson braket by using superderivations.

We have to remark on the definition of ergodicity, as there are different formulations. We have employed a traditional definition of ergodicity based on equilibrium states at positive temperature (more generally faithful time-invariant states) as in Sec. 2.2. Mathematically this realizes a quantum Koopman-von Neumann formalism making use of the GNS representation for such states. To prove the ergodicity and its breakdown, we need to know the spectrum of the GNS Hamiltonian of equilibrium states at positive temperature which is both-sided and continuous in general.

In the course of discussion we have explored the spectrum of the GNS Hamiltonian for both supersymmetric ground states and equilibrium (KMS) states of the Nicolai model. For both cases, there are highly degenerated eigenstates at zero eigenvalue. Note that for KMS states (that give rise to a type III von Neumann algebra), the notion of pure states is meaningless.

We have shown that there are infinitely many classical supersymmetric states on the Fock space for the Nicolai model. Since these are all product states corresponding to permitted configurations as shown in Theorem 6.7, their spacial correlations are trivial. The exponential decay of spacial correlations and the area law are considered to be typical properties of many-body localization (MBL) see [9] [73]. These are obviously satisfied by all the classical supersymmetric states of the Nicolai model. However, it is unknown whether all eigenvectors on the Fock space (and on other sector of some non-classical supersymmetric state) of the Nicolai model satisfy these properties, cf [26].

MBL is considered for non-zero (sometimes infinite) temperature [59] [51]. Strangely, however, MBL for genuine equilibrium states at positive temperature (Gibbs states) has not been studied except for [50] [73]. We have shown MBL-like properties both for ground states and equilibrium states for the Nicolai model.

To produce many-body localization the disorder or quench term may be essential

[51]. One natural question is whether MBL always requires such disorder. Put differently, can MBL arise in translation- invariant systems solely as a consequence of interactions? We refer to [20] [60] [69] [70] and [51]. We have to note that the Nicolai model is exactly periodic but not translation invariant. As suggested in Remark 6.8 it would be interesting to compare the Nicolai model that shows several MBL-like properties with some quasi-periodic quantum models that induce MBL [6] [39] [46] [71]. Naively, what are essential differences between usual MBL due to disorder or randomness and MBL due to dynamical integrability like the Nicolai model (if I may call it)?

The algebra $\mathcal{C}(\{\alpha_t^{\Psi_{\rm Nic}}\})$ mentioned above consists of an extensive number of local constants for the Nicolai model. They are, however, not a *complete* set of local integrals of motion. It has been discussed in [15] [33] [52] [65] [72] that a complete set of local integrals of motion characterizes the full many-body localized (MBL) phase. For the full MBL the Hamiltonian can be written by such conserved quantities. For the Nicolai model we have shown its classical skeleton Hamiltonian that has the same classical ground states as the original Hamiltonian. We need to know more about the spectrum of the Hamiltonian of the Nicolai model beyond the zero eigenvalue.

We have discussed the asymptotical abelian condition. We are not aware of any quantum spin lattice and fermion lattice model whose time evolution is shown to be asymptotic abelian on the *full* system. Quasi-free models can not be an answer to this question. As noted by several mathematical physicists, it is difficult to prove or disprove the asymptotic abelian condition for non-trivial quantum models. One concrete problem is whether the time evolution of the quantum Heisenberg model is asymptotic abelian or not [77, Problem 2C].

The notion of zero velocity is used to show dynamical localization for some random quantum spin chain model [36]. This notion is based on the seminal work by Lieb-Robinson [44]. The notion of zero-velocity is defined for the time evolution (by taking over all local observables). However, it is natural to speak about velocity for individual local operator under the time evolution. (We refer to an experiment work to measure the actual velocity for quench dynamics of some many-body quantum model [16].) In this respect, it is clear that any constant of motion in $\mathcal{C}(\{\alpha_t^{\Psi_{\rm Nic}}\})$ has zero-velocity. What is the velocity for non-diffusive elements in Proposition 7.4 and general local observables? This question will be helpful to see whether the pre-thermalization [32] will take place for the Nicolai model.

It is natural to study perturbed dynamics of the Nicolai model. We refer to [17] where quench dynamics of some supersymmetric model is considered. One may ask how the generalized Gibbs ensemble in the sense of [64] would be for the Nicolai model. We also would like to know what if the setup of non-equilibrium steady states in [67] is applied to the non-ergodic dynamics of the Nicolai model. So far only quasi-free dynamics has been used in the framework of [67]. How is the picture of entropy production for such non-ergodic dynamics?

References

[1] Anderson, P.W.: Absence of diffusion in certain random lattices. Phys. Rev. **109**, 1492-1505 (1958)

[2] Araki, H.: On the algebra of all local observables. Prog. Theor. Phys. **32**, 844-854 (1964)

[3] Araki, H.: Some topics in quantum statistical mechanics. Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians Helsinki, 873-880 (1978)

[4] Araki, H: On the XY-model on two-sided infinite chain. Publ. RIMS. Kyoto. Univ. **20**, 277-296 (1984)

[5] Araki, H., Barouch, E.: On the dynamics and ergodic properties of the XY model. J. Stat. Phys. **31**, 327-345 (1983)

[6] Aubry, S., André, G.: Analyticity breaking and Anderson localization in incommensurate lattices. Ann. Isr. Phys. Soc. **3**, 133-164 (1980)

[7] Barouch, E., McCoy, M.B., Dresden, M.: Statistical mechanics of the XY model. I. Phys. Rev. A **2**, 1975-1092 (1970)

[8] Basko, D., Aleiner, I., Altshuler, B.: Metal-insulator transition in a weakly interacting many-electron system with localized single-particle states. Ann. Phys. **321**, 1126-1205 (2006)

[9] Bauer, B., Nayak, C.: Area laws in a many-body localized state and its implications for topological order. J. Stat. Mech. P02023 (2013)

[10] Benatti, F.: Deterministic chaos in infinite quantum systems. Trieste Notes in Physics, Springer-Verlag, 1993

[11] Bratteli, O., Robinson, D.W.: Operator algebras and quantum statistical mechanics 1. Springer-Verlag, 2nd Edition (1987)

[12] Bratteli, O., Robinson, D.W.: Operator algebras and quantum statistical mechanics 2. Springer-Verlag, 2nd Edition (1997)

[13] Carleo, G., Becca, F., Schiró, M., Fabrizio, M.: Localization and glassy dynamics of many-body quantum systems. Scietific Reports **2**, 243 (2012)

[14] Caux, J-S., Mossel, J.: Remarks on the notion of quantum integrability. J. Stat. Mech. P02023 (2011)

[15] Chandran, A., Kim, I. H., Vidal, G., Abanin, D.A.: Constructing local integrals of motion in the many-body localized phase. Phys. Rev. B **91**, 085425 (2015)

[16] Cheneau, M., Barmettler, P., Poletti, D., Endres, M., Schauß, P., Fukuhara, T., Gross, C., Bloch, I., Kollath, C., Kuhr, S.: Light-cone-like spreading of correlations in a quantum many-body system. Nature. **481**, 484-487 (2012)

[17] Cubero, A.C., Mussardo, G., Panfil, M.: Quench dynamics in two-dimensional integrable SUSY models. J. Stat. Mech. 033115 (2016)

[18] D'Alessio, L., Kafri, Y., Polkovnikov, A., Rigol, M.: From quantum chaos and eigenstate thermalization to statistical mechanics and thermodynamics. Adv. Phys. **65**, 239-362 (2016)

[19] Dereziński, J.: Examples of asymptoticaly abelian quantum systems. Lett. Math. Phys. **5**, 445-447 (1981)

[20] De Roeck, W. Huveneers, F.: Can translation invariant systems exhibit a many-body localized phase? From particle systems to partial differential equations II. Springer proceedings in mathematics & statistics **129**, 173-192 (2015)

[21] Deutsch, J.M.: Quantum statistical mechanics in a closed system. Phys. Rev. A **43**, 2046-2049 (1991)

[22] Doplicher, S., Kastler, D., Robinson, D.W.: Covariance algebras in field theory and statistical mechanics. Commun. Math. Phys. **3**, 1-28 (1966)

[23] Doplicher, S., Kadison, R.V., Kastler, D., Robinson, D.W.: Asymptotically Abelian systems. Commun. Math. Phys. **6**, 101-120 (1967)

[24] Driessler, W.: Comments on lightlike translations and applications in relativistic quantum field theory. Commun. Math. Phys. **44**, 133-141 (1975)

[25] Eckmann J.P., Ruelle, D.: Ergodic theory of chaos and strange attractors. Rev. Mod. Phys. **57**, 617-656 (1985)

[26] Friesdorf, M., Werner, A. H., Brown, W., Scholz, V. B., Eisert, J: Many-body localisation implies that eigenvectors are matrix-product states. Phys. Rev. Lett. **114**, 170505 (2015)

[27] Gallavotti, G.: Statistical Mechanics. A short treatise, Texts Monogr. Phys. Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1999)

[28] Gaspard, P.: Chaos, scattering and statistical mechanics. Cambridge University Press; New Ed edition (2008)

[29] Genovese, G.: Quantum dynamics of integrable spin chains. Thesis Sapienza Università di Roma

[30] Girardeau, M.D.: Decay of magnetization in the one-dimensional XY model. Phys. Lett. A **30**, 442-443 (1969)

[31] Gornyi, I., Mirlin, A., Polyakov, D: Interacting electrons in disordered wires: Anderson localization and low-temperature transport. Phys. Rev. Lett. **95**, 206603 (2005)

[32] Gring, M., Kuhnert, M., Langen,T., Kitagawa, T., Rauer, B., Schreitl, M., Mazets, I., Adu Smith, D., Demler, E., Schmiedmayer, J.: Relaxation and prethermalization in an isolated quantum system. Science **337** (6100), 1318-1322 (2012)

[33] Huse, D.A., Nandkishore, R., Oganesyan, V.: Phenomenology of fully many-body-localized systems. Phys. Rev. B **90**, 174202 (2014)

[34] Haag, R.: Local quantum physics. 2nd Edition, Springer-Verlag, 1996

[35] Haag, R., Kastler. D.: An algebraic approach to field theory. J. Math. Phys. **5**, 848-861 (1964)

[36] Hamza, E., Sims, R., Stolz, G.: Dynamical localization in disordered quantum spin systems. Commun. Math. Phys. **315**, 215-239 (2012)

[37] Imbrie, J. E.: On many-body localization for quantum spin chains. J. Stat. Phys. **163**, 998-1048 (2016)

[38] Imbrie, J. E., Ros, V., Scardicchio, A.: Review: Local Integrals of motion in many-body localized systems preprint

[39] Iyer, S., Oganesyan, V., Refael, G., Huse, D.A.: Many-body localization in a quasiperiodic system. Phys. Rev. B **87**, 134202 (2013)

[40] Katsura, H., Moriya, H., Nakayama, Y.: in preparation.

[41] Kinoshita, T., Wenger, T., Weiss, D.S.: A quantum Newton's cradle. Nature. **440**, 900-903 (2006)

[42] Lanford III, O.E., Robinson, D.W.: Mean entropy of states in quantum statistical mechanics. J. Math. Phys. **9**, 1120-1125 (1968)

[43] Lebowitz, J. L., Penrose, O.: Modern ergodic theory. Physics Today. **26**(2), 23-29 (1973)

[44] Lieb, E. H., Robinson, D. W.: The finite group velocity of quantum spin systems. Commun. Math. Phys. **28**, 251-257 (1972)

[45] Mastropietro, V.: Localization of interacting fermions in the Aubry-André model. Phys. Rev. Lett. **115**, 180401 (2015)

[46] Mastropietro, V.: Localization in an interacting quasi-periodic fermionic chain. Commun. Math. Phys. **342**, 217-250 (2016)

[47] Mazur, P.: Non-ergodicity of phase functions in certain systems. Physica. **43**, 533-545 (1969)

[48] Moriya, H.: On supersymmetric fermion lattice systems Ann. Inst. Henri. Poincaré **17**, 2199-2236 (2016)

[49] Moriya, H.: On the sector space for quantum ground states (Japanese). RIMS Kokyuroku **1852**, 96-99 (2013)

[50] Nachtergaele, B., Sims, R., Stolz, G.: Quantum harmonic oscillator systems with disorder. J. Stat. Phys. **149**, 969-1012 (2012)

[51] Nandkishore, R., Huse, D. A.: Many body localization and thermalization in quantum statistical mechanics. Annu. Rev. Condens. Matter Phys. **6**, 15-38 (2015)

[52] Nanduri, A., Kim, H., Huse, D.: Entanglement spreading in a many-body localized system. Phys. Rev. B **90**, 064201 (2014)

[53] Narnhofer, H.: Roots for quantum ergodic theory in von Neumann's work. Rep. Math. Phys. **55**, 93-107 (2005)

[54] Narnhofer, H.: Dynamical stability revisted. J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **41**, 335211 (2008)

[55] Narnhofer, H.: Are interacting systems norm asymptotic abelian? Quantum Studies: Mathematics and Foundations **2**, 417-433 (2015)

[56] Narnhofer, H., Thirring, W.: Mixing properties of quantum systems. J. Stat. Phys. **57**, 811-825 (1989)

[57] Narnhofer, H., Thirring, W.: Galilei-invariant quantm field theories with pair interactions; a review. Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **6**, 2937-2970 (1991)

[58] Nicolai, H.: Supersymmetry and spin systems. J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **9**, 1497-1505 (1976)

[59] Oganesyan, V., Huse, D.A.: Localization of interacting fermions at high temperature. Phys. Rev. B **75**, 155111 (2007)

[60] Papic, Z., Stoudenmire, E.M., Abanin, D.A.: Many-body localization in disorder-free systems: the importance of finite-size constraints. Ann. Phys. **362**, 714-725 (2015)

[61] Pillet, C.-A.: Quantum dynamical systems in open quantum systems I, Springer, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1880, 107-182 (2006)

[62] Polkovnikov, A., Sengupta, K., Silva, A., Vengalattore, M.: Colloquium: Nonequilibrium dynamics of closed interacting quantum systems. Rev. Mod. Phys. **83**, 863-883 (2011)

[63] Radin, C.: Approach to equilibrium in a simple model. J. Math. Phys. **11**, 2945-2955 (1970)

[64] Rigol, M, Dunjko, V., Yurovsky, V., Olshanii, M.: Relaxation in a completely integrable many-body quantum system: An ab initio study of the dynamics of the highly excited states of 1D lattice hard-core bosons Phys. Rev. Lett. **98**, 050405 (2007)

[65] Ros, V., Müller, M., Scardicchio, A.: Integrals of motion in the many-body localized phase. Nucl. Phys. **B**, 420-465 (2015)

[66] Ruelle, D.: States of physical systems. Commun. Math. Phys. **3**, 133-150(1966)

[67] Ruelle, D.: Natural nonequilibrium states in quantum statistical mechanics. J. Stat. Phys. **98**, 57-75 (2000)

[68] Ruelle, D., Sinai, Ya.G.: From dynamical systems to statistical mechanics and back. Physica. **140A**, 1-8 (1986)

[69] Schiulaz, M., Müller, M.: Ideal quantum glass transitions: many-body localization without quenched disorder. AIP Conf. Proc. **1610**, 11-23 (2014)

[70] Schiulaz, M., Silva, A., Müller, M.: Dynamics in many-body localized quantum systems without disorder. Phys. Rev. B. **91**, 184202 (2015)

[71] Schreiber, M., Hodgman, S.S., Bordia, P., Lüschen, H.P., Fischer, M.H., Vosk, R., Altman, E., Schneider, U., Bloch, I.: Observation of many-body localization of interacting fermions in a quasi-random optical lattice. Science **349** (6250), 842-845 (2015)

[72] Serbyn, M., Papić, Z., Abanin, D.A.: Local conservation laws and the structure of the many-body localized states. Phys. Rev. Lett. **111**, 127201 (2013)

[73] Sims, R., Stolz, G.: Many-body localization: Concepts and simple models Markov Processes Relat. Fields **21**, 791-822 (2015)

[74] Smith, J., Lee, A., Richerme, P., Neyenhuis, B., Hess, P.W., Hauke, P., Heyl, M., Huse, D., Monroe, C.: Many-body localization in a quantum simulator with programmable random disorder. Nature Physics (2016) arXiv:1508.07026.

[75] Srednicki, M.: Chaos and quantum thermalization. Phys. Rev. E **50**, 888-901 (1994)

[76] Suzuki, M.: Ergodicity, constants of motion, and bounds for susceptibilities. Physica. **51**, 277-291 (1971)

[77] Simon, B: Fifteen problems in mathematical physics. Perspectives in mathematics anniversary of Oberwolfach 1984

[78] Thirring, W.: A course in mathematical physics 4: Quantum mechanics of large systems. Springer-Verlag, 1983

[79] van Horssen, M., Levi, E., Garrahan, J.P.: Dynamics of many-body localization in a translation-invariant quantum glass model. Phys. Rev. B **92**, 100305 (2015)

[80] von Neumann, J.: Proof of the Ergodic theorem and the H-theorem in quantum mechanics. (English translation by Tumulka, R. of "Beweis des Ergodensatzes und des H-theorems in der neuen Mechanik." Z. Phys. 57, 30-70 (1929)) Eur. Phys. J. H **35**, 30-70 (2010)

[81] Weigert, S.: The problem of quantum integrability. Physica D: Nonlinear Phenomena. **56**, 107-119 (1992)

[82] Weinberg, S.: The quantum theory of fields III. Cambridge University Press, 2000